

Research Priorities and Recommendations to Support Interjurisdictional Fisheries Management

HORSESHOE CRAB

(Full Citation: Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission. 2019. Horseshoe Crab Benchmark Stock Assessment. Arlington, VA. 296 pp.)

* * While all recommendations are high priority, the first recommendation is the highest priority.

2019 Benchmark Stock Assessment Recommendations

Future Research

- Determine relationship between age, stage, and size for horseshoe crabs.
- Compare densities of horseshoe crabs nearshore, offshore, and in bays, compare different stages (i.e., primiparous and multiparous), and look at movements among embayments within regions (i.e., around Cape Cod, Long Island).
- Characterize the proportion of states' landings that comprise crabs of Delaware Bay origin. This can be done through a directed tag/release study, genetics/microchemistry study, or both.
- Collect more life history information, particularly for juveniles, on growth, molt timing, and distribution.
- Evaluate the effect of warming temperatures on distribution and timing of spawning for horseshoe crabs.
- Address the issue of gear saturation for spawning beach surveys and/or explore analyses that would be less sensitive to gear saturation. Explore the methodology and data collection of spawning beach surveys and the ability of these surveys to track spawning abundance.
- Determine if there is illegal take-and-use at sea, transfer at sea, and poaching from spawning areas for horseshoe crabs and estimate the amount if possible.

Data Collection

- Continue to fund and operate the full Virginia Tech Trawl Survey annually.
- Conduct a gear efficiency study of the Virginia Tech Trawl Survey given the importance of using swept-area estimates of abundance in modeling the Delaware population.
- Better characterize the discards, landings, and discard mortality by gear.
- Increase the priority of maintaining and managing horseshoe crab data in and among states, both fishery-dependent and –independent, and improve communication between data providers.
- Continue current biosampling for sex and weight and expand where possible.

- Develop a standardized biosampling protocol to cover different seasons and obtain weights, ages, stages, and widths of horseshoe crabs using a random sampling design.
- Expand or implement fishery-independent surveys (e.g., spawning, benthic trawl, tagging) to target horseshoe crabs throughout their full range including estuaries. Highest priority should be given to implementing directed surveys in the Northeast and New York regions.
- Collect sex and stage data in fishery-independent surveys. Surveys should consider using similar methods as the Virginia Tech Trawl Survey and collect biological data by sex and stage, particularly by primiparous and multiparous.
- Continue to evaluate biomedically bled crabs' mortality rates. Consider a tagging study of biomedically bled horseshoe crabs to obtain relative survival and collaborations between researchers and biomedical facilities that would result in peer-reviewed mortality estimates.
- Maintain consistent data collection and survey designs for spawning beach surveys each year and encourage spawning beach surveys to conduct the data collection for the survey and tagging resights separately.

Assessment Methodology

- The ARM working group should consider using the population estimates from the CMSA model as an input to the ARM model as well as estimated mortality from discards and the biomedical industry.
- Further develop the catch-survey analysis and apply assessment modeling beyond the Delaware Bay region, which would require more stage-based data collection.
- Develop a stage-based or length-based model specific for horseshoe crabs that addresses their life history characteristics.
- Estimate the survival of early life stages (e.g., age-zero, juveniles) and growth rates.
- Explore the possibility of using a delay-difference model for future assessments. Because of the life history of horseshoe crab, this would require 20-30 years of data before it could be developed.
- Continue to evaluate tagging data by fitting capture-recapture models that include a short-term (1 year) bleeding effect, account for spatial distribution of harvest pressure, account for capture methodology, and account for disposition of recaptured tagged individuals. Potential methodological approaches include use of time-varying individual covariates to indicate which crabs are 1 year from bleeding and use of hierarchical models to estimate interannual variation in survival within time periods defined by major regulatory changes.