Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission

Amendment 22 to the Interstate Fishery Management Plans for Summer Flounder, Scup, and Black Sea Bass

Commercial and Recreational Allocation





Approved by the ASMFC, September 2022
MAFMC Amendment Approved by NOAA Fisheries, November 2022

Sustainable and Cooperative Management of Atlantic Coastal Fisheries

Amendment 22 to the Interstate Fishery Management Plan for Summer Flounder, Scup, and Black Sea Bass

Prepared by

Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission's Plan Development Team (PDT) and
Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council's Fishery Management Action Team (FMAT)

FMAT/PDT Members:
Gregory Ardini, NOAA Fisheries
Julia Beaty, Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council
Dustin Colson Leaning, Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission
Karson Coutre, Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council
Kiley Dancy, Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council
Marianne Ferguson, NOAA Fisheries
Emily Keiley, NOAA Fisheries
Savannah Lewis, Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission
Gary Shepherd, NOAA Fisheries
Mark Terceiro, Northeast Fisheries Science Center

This is a report of the Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission pursuant to U.S. Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Award No. NA20NMF4740012.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Statement of the Problem

The commercial and recreational allocations for summer flounder, scup, and black sea bass are currently based on historical proportions of landings (for summer flounder and black sea bass) or catch (for scup) from each sector. Recent changes in how recreational catch is estimated resulted in a discrepancy between the current level of estimated recreational harvest and the recreational allocation for summer flounder, scup, and black sea bass. Some changes have also been made to commercial catch data since the allocations were established. The commercial and recreational data revisions not only impacted catch accounting, but also significantly affected estimates of the population levels for all three fish stocks. This has management implications due to the fixed commercial/recreational allocation percentages defined in the fishery management plan (FMP) for all three species. The Summer Flounder, Scup, and Black Sea Bass Board and Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council (MAFMC or Council) initiated this Amendment because the allocation percentages do not reflect the current understanding of the recent and historic proportions of catch and landings from the two sectors.

Management Unit

Summer flounder, scup, and black sea bass fisheries are managed cooperatively by the Commission in state waters (0-3 miles from shore), and by the MAFMC and NOAA Fisheries in federal waters (3-200 miles). The management unit for summer flounder in U.S. waters is the western Atlantic Ocean from the southern border of North Carolina northward to the U.S.-Canadian border. The management unit for scup and black sea bass in U.S. waters is the western Atlantic Ocean from Cape Hatteras, North Carolina north to the Canadian border.

Description of the Resource

Summer Flounder (Paralichthys dentatus)

Summer flounder are found in inshore and offshore waters from Nova Scotia, Canada to the east coast of Florida. In the U.S, they are most abundant in the Mid-Atlantic region from Cape Cod, Massachusetts to Cape Fear, North Carolina. Summer flounder usually begin to spawn at age two or three, at lengths of about 10 inches. Spawning occurs in the fall while the fish are moving offshore. Spawning migration is linked to sexual maturity, with the oldest and largest fish migrating first. Spawning summer flounder in the northern portion of the geographic range spawn and move offshore (depths of 120 to 600 feet) earlier than those in the southern part of the range. Larvae migrate to inshore coastal and estuarine areas from October to May. The larvae move to bottom waters upon reaching the coast and spend their first year in bays and other inshore areas. At the end of their first year, some juveniles join the adult offshore migration. Adults spend most of their life on or near the sea bottom burrowing in the sandy substrate. Flounder lie in ambush and wait for their prey. They are quick and efficient predators with well-developed teeth allowing them to capture small fish, squid, sea worms, shrimp, and other crustaceans.

Scup (Stenotomus chrysops)

Scup are a migratory, schooling species found on the continental shelf of the Northwest Atlantic, commonly inhabiting waters from Cape Cod, Massachusetts to Cape Hatteras, North Carolina. The abundance of scup in a specific area is frequently influenced by water temperature. Scup prefer temperatures greater than 45 degrees F and are most frequently encountered in water temperatures from 55 to 77 degrees F. Scup overwinter in offshore waters from southern New Jersey to Cape Hatteras. When water temperatures begin to rise in spring and summer scup migrate to more northern and inshore waters to spawn. Spawning areas include locations from southern New England to Long Island, New York. Large fish arrive to the spawning grounds first, followed by successive waves of smaller individuals, suggesting that scup school by size. Larval scup are pelagic and are found in coastal waters during warmer months. Juvenile scup use a variety of coastal habitats and can dominate the overall fish population in large estuarine areas during the summer months.

Black Sea Bass (Centropristis striata)

Black sea bass inhabit Atlantic coastal waters from the Gulf of Maine to the Florida Keys, concentrating in areas from Cape Cod, Massachusetts to Cape Canaveral, Florida. A temperate reef fish, black sea bass commonly inhabit rock bottoms near pilings, wrecks, and jetties. Black sea bass rely on their large mouth and swift ocean currents to catch prey, which include fish, crabs, mussels, and razor clams. Two distinct stocks of black sea bass exist along the Atlantic coast with overlapping ranges. The northern stock migrates seasonally. Black sea bass summer in northern inshore waters at depths of less than 120 feet and winter in southern offshore waters at depths of 240 to 540 feet. Spawning occurs off of New England in the late summer. Black sea bass are protogynous hermaphrodites, which mean they start life as a female and then change sex to become males when they reach 9-13 inches (2 - 5 years of age). Thirty-eight percent of females in the Mid-Atlantic demonstrate sex reversal between August and April, after most fish have spawned. Even though some fish are males when they reach sexual maturity, most produce eggs when they first mature. Following transition, a sea bass will either become a dominant male, characterized by a larger size and a bright blue nuchal hump during spawning season, or a subordinate male that has few distinguishing features.

Description of the Fisheries

Summer Flounder

Summer flounder are one of the most sought after commercial and recreational fish along the Atlantic coast, with total landings at approximately 16.8 million pounds in 2019. Two major commercial trawl fisheries exist — a winter offshore and a summer inshore. Summer flounder are also taken by pound nets and gillnets in estuarine waters. Throughout the 1980s, commercial landings ranged from 17.9 to 37.7 million pounds. In 1993, the coastwide quota was implemented for the first time and commercial landings have since ranged from 5.8 million pounds to 18.2 million pounds. Commercial landings reached a time series low of 5.8 million pounds in 2017, but have since increased to 9.0 million pounds in 2019. Commercial discard losses in the otter trawl and scallop dredge fisheries are estimated from observer data, and an 80% commercial discard mortality rate is assumed.

Summer flounder are also highly prized in the recreational fishery. Anglers catch summer flounder from the shore, piers, and boats with hook and line. From 1981 through 2004, recreational landings varied widely from a high of 36.7 million pounds in 1983 to a low of 5.7 million pounds in 1989. Starting in 1993, harvest limits were implemented for the recreational fishery. Recreational harvest has generally been constrained by the recreational harvest limit, and in 2019 harvest was 7.8 million pounds.

Scup

Scup are a very popular fish for commercial harvesters and recreational anglers throughout Southern New England and the Mid-Atlantic. Commercial fisheries extend from Massachusetts to North Carolina. Commercial scup landings experienced a general declining trend from a peak of 21.7 million pounds in 1981 to the time series low of 2.7 million pounds in 2000. Since 2000, landings have fluctuated from 3.8 million pounds in 2001 to 17.9 million pounds in 2013. Approximately 13.8 million pounds were landed in 2019. Since 1981, commercial landings have largely come from Rhode Island (38%), New Jersey (26%), and New York (16%). Commercial discards have been highly variable during most of the past four decades, averaging 28% of the total commercial catch during 1981-2019.

The recreational scup fishery is significant, with anglers accounting for 12 to 75% of total annual catches from 1981-2019. Prior to 1996, when the Commission and the MAFMC adopted the Scup FMP, recreational landings ranged from 2.3 million pounds to 14.2 million pounds. After the FMP was approved, recreational harvest remained low for a few years around 2-4 million pounds, which helped lead the way for SSB to recover in the early 2000s. Since the regional recreational management approach was introduced in 2003, recreational landings have averaged 10.4 million pounds annually. In 2019, recreational anglers harvested 14.1 million pounds, with the majority of the harvest coming from the states of Massachusetts, Rhode Island, Connecticut, New York, and New Jersey.

Black Sea Bass

Black sea bass support valuable commercial and recreational fisheries throughout the Atlantic Coast. Inshore and more southern commercial fisheries primarily use fish pots and handlines, and when fish move offshore in the winter, they are primarily caught in trawl fisheries targeting summer flounder, scup, and Loligo squid. Since the fishery management plan's approval in 1997, the black sea bass commercial fishery has operated under a quota. Between 1998 and 2007, landings averaged 2.8 million pounds. From 2008 to 2012, reduced quotas resulted in average landings of only 1.6 million pounds. Landings have since increased, reaching a high of 4.0 million pounds in 2017, and 3.5 million pounds in 2019. Commercial fishery discards historically represented a small fraction of total fishery removals from the stock, but have increased in recent years. In 2019, commercial discards were 2.3 million pounds.

Black sea bass are also an important recreational species in the Mid-Atlantic, commonly caught using squid and other natural bait. Recreational fisheries generally occur during the period that black sea bass are inshore (May to September), but season duration varies among the states. The recreational fishery is restricted by a coastwide recreational harvest limit. Recreational

landings have fluctuated over time, but increased rapidly since 2010 and peaked in 2016 at approximately 12.3 million pounds. Recreational discards have also increased to about 85% of total catch over the last 10 years. Assuming a 15% hook and release mortality, in 2019, estimated mortality from recreational discards were estimated at 3.2 million fish, equal to 27% of the total recreational removals (harvest plus dead discards).

Goals and Objectives
The Board and Council initiated this Amendment to consider modifications to the allocations between the commercial and recreational sectors for summer flounder, scup, and black sea bass.

Commercial and Recreational Sector Allocations
The commercial and recreational summer flounder, scup, and black sea bass fisheries are managed with sector-specific catch limits. For summer flounder, this Amendment allocates 55% of the acceptable biological catch (ABC) to the commercial annual catch limit and 45% to the recreational annual catch limit. For scup, this Amendment allocates 65% of the ABC to the commercial annual catch limit and 35% to the recreational annual catch limit. For black sea bass, this Amendment allocates 45% of the ABC to the commercial annual catch limit and 55% to the recreational annual catch limit. These revised sector allocations are based on updated data from the base years that were used to set the original sector allocations.

Other Management Measures
This Amendment does not completely replace previous amendments to the Summer Flounder, Scup, and Black Sea Bass Fishery Management Plan, nor does it list the comprehensive set of management measures. For example, state commercial quota allocations for summer flounder may be found in Amendment 21. In addition, the Council has implemented several Amendments and Frameworks, which contain pertinent details on the joint management of summer flounder, scup, and black sea bass. A complete list of federal Amendments and Frameworks with links to the management documents may be found here.

Implementation Schedule
This Amendment is effective January 1, 2023.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The summer flounder (*Paralichthys dentatus*), scup (*Stenotomus chrysops*) and black sea bass (*Centropristis striata*) fisheries are managed under the Summer Flounder, Scup and Black Sea Bass Fishery Management Plan (FMP) that was prepared cooperatively by the Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council (MAFMC or Council) and the Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission (ASMFC or Commission). The Commission, under the authority of the Atlantic Coastal Fisheries Cooperative Management Act, is responsible for managing summer flounder, scup, and black sea bass in state waters (0-3 miles from shore). The Council develops regulations for federal waters (3-200 nautical miles from shore). NOAA Fisheries is the federal implementation and enforcement agency.

1.1 BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Revised recreational catch and harvest estimates, released in 2018, show that recreational catch and harvest of summer flounder, scup, and black sea bass are much higher than previously estimated and have resulted in significant changes to stock biomass estimates and resulting catch limits for these three species. As described in more detail below, these changes have consequential management impacts due to fixed commercial and recreational allocations of catch or landings for each species. In light of these impacts, at a joint meeting of the Summer Flounder, Scup, and Black Sea Bass Management Board (Board) and Council in October 2019, the Board and Council initiated an amendment to consider modifications to the commercial/recreational sector allocations for summer flounder, scup, and black sea bass. The Board and Council approved the Scoping and Public Information Document for public comment in December 2019. Public comment was received and 11 scoping hearings were held from Massachusetts through North Carolina between February and March 2020. The hearings were attended by approximately 280 people, and 207 individuals and organizations provided comments in person or in writing.

Based on the summary of public comments, comments from the Advisory Panels (APs), and recommendations from the Council Fishery Management Action Team/Commission Plan Development Team (FMAT/PDT), the Board and Council supported exploration of a variety of approaches including status quo, updating existing base years with revised data, separate allocations for the for-hire and private sectors of the recreational fishery, a harvest control rule approach, dynamic allocations, and allocation transfers between sectors. Due to concerns about recreational data, the Board and Council also supported the development of draft alternatives to address recreational accountability and catch counting.

At the June and August 2020 joint meetings, the Board and Council determined that the harvest control rule, recreational accountability measures, recreational catch accounting, and recreational for-hire sector separation alternatives should be removed from this action and instead considered for inclusion in the recreational reform initiative.

The Council and Board first considered final action on this Amendment at their April 2021 joint meeting following the public comment period, but instead voted to postpone final action until December 2021 to allow for further development of the Recreational Harvest Control Rule Framework and Addendum.[1] They also agreed to consider proposals for additional commercial/recreational allocation alternatives from Council and Board members at their joint meeting in August 2021. Both bodies agreed that any additional proposals should be within the existing range of alternatives in the document to avoid further delaying final action. At the August 2021 joint meeting, the Council and Board approved the addition of four new allocation alternatives for each species which fell within the range of the previously considered alternatives. In December 2021, the Board and Council took final action on summer flounder, scup, and black sea bass recreational/commercial allocations.

1.1.1 Statement of Problem

Summer Flounder, Scup, and Black Sea Bass Commercial/Recreational Allocation

The commercial and recreational allocations for all three species were previously based on historical proportions of landings (for summer flounder and black sea bass) or catch (for scup) from each sector. These allocations were set in the mid-1990s and have not been revised since that time.

Recent changes in how recreational catch is estimated resulted in a discrepancy between the current levels of estimated recreational harvest and the allocations for summer flounder, scup, and black sea bass to the recreational sector. Recreational catch and harvest data are estimated by the Marine Recreational Information Program (MRIP). In July 2018, MRIP released revised time series of catch and harvest estimates based on adjustments to its angler intercept methodology, which is used to estimate catch rates, and its effort estimation methodology, namely, a transition from a telephone-based effort survey to a mail-based effort survey for the private/rental boat and shore-based fishing modes[2]. These revisions collectively resulted in much higher recreational catch estimates compared to previous estimates, affecting the entire time series of data going back to 1981.

The revised MRIP estimates were incorporated into the stock assessment for summer flounder in 2018 and for scup and black sea bass in 2019. This impacted the estimated stock biomass and resulting catch limits for these species. In general, because the revised MRIP data showed that more fish were caught than previously thought, the stock assessment models estimated that there must have been more fish available to catch, which in turn impacted the biomass estimates derived from the stock assessments. Additionally, the differences between the previous and revised estimates tended to be greater in more recent years compared to earlier years. Other factors such as the addition of data on recent recruitment also impacted the assessment model results for each species.

[1] http://www.asmfc.org/files/RecReformInitiative/RecreationalReformInitiative_December2022.pdf

[2] For-hire effort continues to be assessed through a telephone survey of known for-hire operators. More information on how MRIP collects data from the recreational fishery is available at: https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/recreational-fishing-data/types-recreational-fishing-surveys.

- For summer flounder, the revised MRIP estimates were 30% higher on average compared to the previous estimates for 1981-2017. Increased recreational catch resulted in increased estimates of stock size compared to past assessments. The higher biomass projections resulted in a 49% increase in the commercial quota and recreational harvest limit (RHL) for 2019. Expected recreational harvest in the revised MRIP currency was close to the revised RHL; therefore, recreational measures could not be liberalized in 2019 despite the 49% increase in the RHL.

- For scup, the revised MRIP recreational catch estimates were, on average, 18% higher than the previous estimates for 1981-2017. The MRIP data have a lesser impact in the scup stock assessment model, with the 2019 operational stock assessment showing minor increases in biomass estimates compared to the 2015 assessment. Due to below-average recruitment in recent years, the scup catch and landings limits for both commercial and recreational sectors decreased slightly in response to the results of the 2019 operational stock assessment.

- For black sea bass, the revised MRIP recreational catch estimates increased the 1981-2017 total catch by an average of 73%, ranging from +9% in 1995 to +161% in 2017. These increased catch estimates, in addition to other factors such as an above average 2015 year class, contributed to a notable scaling up of the spawning stock biomass estimates from the previous assessment. As a result, the 2020 black sea bass commercial quota and RHL both increased by 59% compared to 2019. Although this led to an increase in the RHL, recent harvest under the new MRIP data was higher than the 2020 RHL, therefore, recreational management measures could not be liberalized in response to this increased RHL.

Some changes have also been made to commercial catch data since the allocations were established. For example, the commercial scup discard estimates throughout the time series were revised through the 2015 scup stock assessment. For the 1988-1992 allocation base years, the current estimates of scup commercial catch are, on average, 8% lower than estimates used to set the allocations under Amendment 8. Commercial discard estimates for all three species have improved due to the implementation of a standardized bycatch reporting methodology.

The commercial and recreational data revisions not only impact the catch estimates, but also significantly affected our understanding of the population levels for all three fish stocks. This has management implications due to the fixed commercial/recreational allocation percentages defined in the FMP for all three species. These allocation percentages do not reflect the current understanding of the recent and historic proportions of catch and landings from the two sectors. These allocation percentages are defined in the Council and Commission FMPs; therefore, they can only be modified through a Commission FMP amendment/Council framework. Through the development of this Amendment the Board considered adjustments to the allocations that were deemed appropriate and met the objectives of the FMP.

1.1.2 Benefits of Implementation

This Amendment is designed to address the issue of allocation between the commercial and recreational sectors for summer flounder, scup, and black sea bass as described above. Reevaluation of the allocations based on the best available science aim to provide fair and equitable access to all fishery participants.

1.1.2.1 Ecological Benefits

Throughout their ranges, summer flounder, scup, and black sea bass occupy important roles in the coastal marine food chain. All three species are benthic feeders that prey upon lower trophic level species while also providing sustenance to commercially viable predator species such as monkfish, spiny dogfish, and king mackerel. Implementation of this action will help the Board and Council effectively manage these species under catch limits based on the best scientific information available in order to maintain healthy stock conditions for all three species.

1.1.2.2 Social and Economic Benefits

Summer flounder, scup, and black sea bass support valuable and culturally significant commercial and recreational fisheries along the Atlantic coast. Addressing the revised MRIP information, recent fishing trends, and the needs of the commercial and recreational fisheries to inform the allocation between the two sectors may enhance social and economic benefits by increasing economic returns and increasing access to the resources. This in turn could increase resilience in fishery-dependent communities along the Atlantic coast.

1.2 DESCRIPTION OF THE RESOURCE

1.2.1 Summer Flounder

Summer flounder are a demersal flatfish found in pelagic waters, demersal waters, saltmarsh creeks, seagrass beds, mudflats, and open bay areas. Spawning occurs during the fall and winter in the open ocean over the continental shelf. Larvae and post-larvae are transported toward coastal areas by prevailing water currents, entering coastal and estuarine nursery areas. Development of post-larvae and juveniles occurs primarily within bays and estuarine areas Adult summer flounder exhibit strong seasonal inshore-offshore movements, normally inhabiting shallow coastal and estuarine waters during the warmer months of the year and remaining offshore during the colder months. Most fish are sexually mature by age 2. Summer flounder exhibit sexual dimorphism by size; most of the largest fish are females. Females can attain lengths over 90 cm (36 in) and weights up to 11.8 kg (26 lbs.; NEFSC 2017). Recent Northeast Fisheries Science Center (NEFSC) trawl survey data indicate that while female summer flounder grow faster (reaching a larger size at the same age), the sexes attain about the same maximum age (currently age 15 at 56 cm for males, and age 14 at 65 cm for females). Unsexed commercial fishery samples currently indicate a maximum age of 17 for an 85 cm fish (M. Terceiro, personal communication, January 2017).

Summer flounder are opportunistic feeders; their prey includes a variety of fish and crustaceans. While the predators of adult summer flounder are not fully documented, larger

predators such as large sharks, rays, and monkfish probably include summer flounder in their diets (Packer et al. 1999).

The recent benchmark stock assessment was developed through the 66th SAW process, and peer reviewed at the 66th SARC from November 27-30, 2018 (NEFSC 2019a). The assessment incorporated the revised time series of recreational catch from MRIP, which is 30% higher on average compared to the previous summer flounder estimates for 1981-2017. The MRIP estimate revisions account for changes in both the angler intercept survey and recreational effort survey methodologies. While fishing mortality rates were not strongly affected by incorporating these revisions, increased recreational catch resulted in increased estimates of stock size compared to past assessments.

The biological reference points for summer flounder, as revised through the SAW/SARC 66 process, include a fishing mortality threshold of $F_{MSY} = F_{35\%}$ (as the F_{MSY} proxy) = 0.448, and a biomass reference point of $SSB_{MSY} = SSB_{35\%}$ (as the SSB_{MSY} proxy) = 126.01 million lb = 57,159 mt. The minimum stock size threshold (1/2 SSB_{MSY}), is estimated to be 63.01 million lb (28,580 mt; Figure 1).

Assessment results indicate that the summer flounder stock was not overfished and overfishing was not occurring in 2017. Fishing mortality on the fully selected age 4 fish ranged between 0.744 and 1.622 during 1982-1996 and then decreased to 0.245 in 2007. Since 2007 the fishing mortality rate (F) has increased, and in 2017 was estimated at 0.334, below the SAW 66 F_{MSY} proxy = $F_{35\%}$ = 0.448 (Figure 2). The 90% confidence interval for F in 2017 was 0.276 to 0.380.

SSB decreased from 67.13 million lb (30,451 mt) in 1982 to 16.33 million lb (7,408 mt) in 1989, and then increased to 152.46 million lb (69,153 mt) in 2003. SSB has decreased since 2003 and was estimated to be 98.22 million lb (44,552 mt) in 2017, about 78% of SSB_{MSY} = 126.01 million lb (57,159 mt), and 56% above the ½ SSB_{MSY} proxy = ½ $SSB_{35\%}$ = 63.01 million lb (28,580 mt; Figure 1). The 90% confidence interval for SSB in 2017 was 39,195 to 50,935 mt.

Recruitment of juvenile summer flounder to the fishery has been below average since about 2011, although the driving factors behind this trend have not been identified. Bottom trawl survey data also indicate a recent trend of decreasing length and weight at age, which implies slower growth and delayed maturity. These factors affected the change in the biological reference points used to determine stock status.



Figure 1. Summer flounder spawning stock biomass (SSB; solid line) and recruitment at age 0 (R; vertical bars) 1980-2017. The horizontal dashed line is the 2018 SAW66 recommended target biomass reference point proxy, $SSB_{MSY} = SSB_{35\%} = 57{,}159$ mt. The horizontal solid line is the 2018 SAW66 recommended threshold biomass reference point proxy ½ SSB_{MSY} = ½ $SSB_{35\%}$ = 28,580 mt. Source: NEFSC 2019a.



Figure 2. Total fishery catch (mt; solid line) and fully-recruited fishing mortality (F, peak at age 4; squares) of summer flounder. The horizontal solid line is the 2018 SAW66 recommended fishing mortality reference point proxy FMSY = F35% = 0.448. Source: NEFSC 2019a.

1.2.2 Scup

Scup are a schooling, demersal (i.e., bottom-dwelling) species found in a variety of habitats in the Mid-Atlantic. Scup essential fish habitat (EFH) includes demersal waters, areas with sandy or muddy bottoms, mussel beds, and sea grass beds primarily from the Gulf of Maine through Cape Hatteras, North Carolina. Scup undertake extensive seasonal migrations between coastal

and offshore waters. They are mostly found in estuaries and coastal waters during the spring and summer. Larger individuals tend to arrive in inshore areas in the spring before smaller individuals. They move offshore and to the south, to outer continental shelf waters south of New Jersey in the fall and winter (Steimle et al. 1999, NEFSC 2015).

About 50% of scup are sexually mature at two years of age and about 17 cm (about 7 inches) total length. Nearly all scup older than three years of age are sexually mature. Scup reach a maximum age of at least 14 years. They may live as long as 20 years; however, few scup older than 7 years are caught in the Mid-Atlantic (Steimle et al. 1999, NEFSC 2015).

Adult scup are benthic feeders. They consume a variety of prey, including small crustaceans (including zooplankton), polychaetes, mollusks, small squid, vegetable detritus, insect larvae, hydroids, sand dollars, and small fish. The NEFSC's food habits database lists several predators of scup, including several shark species, skates, silver hake, bluefish, summer flounder, black sea bass, weakfish, lizardfish, king mackerel, and monkfish (NEFSC 2020, Steimle et al. 1999).

A scup operational stock assessment was peer reviewed and accepted in August 2019. This assessment retained the model structure of the previous benchmark stock assessment, completed in 2015, and incorporated fishery catch and fishery-independent survey data through 2018, including revised recreational data provided by MRIP for 1989-2018 (NEFSC 2019b).

The assessment found that the scup stock was not overfished and overfishing was not occurring in 2018. Updated proxy biological reference points from the 2019 operational stock assessment include a fishing mortality reference point of F_{MSY} proxy = $F_{40\%}$ = 0.215, a biomass reference point of SSB_{MSY} proxy = $SSB_{40\%}$ = 207.279 million pounds (94,020 mt), and a minimum biomass threshold of ½ SSB_{MSY} proxy = ½ $SSB_{40\%}$ = 103.639 million pounds (47,010 mt, NEFSC 2019b). Spawning stock biomass (SSB) was estimated to be about 411 million pounds (186,578 mt), about 2 times the SSB_{MSY} proxy reference point (i.e. $SSB_{40\%}$) of 207 million pounds (94,020 mt, Figure 3). Fishing mortality on fully selected age 3 scup was 0.158, about 73% of the F_{MSY} proxy reference point ($F_{40\%}$) of 0.215 (Figure 4). The 2015 year class is estimated to be the largest in the time series at 326 million fish, while the 2016-2018 year classes are estimated to be below average at 112 million fish, 93 million fish and 83 million fish, respectively (Figure 3).



Figure 3. Scup SSB and recruitment at age 0, 1984-2018 from the 2019 operational stock assessment (NEFSC 2019b).



Figure 4. Scup total catch and fishing mortality, 1984-2018 from the 2019 operational stock assessment (NEFSC 2019b).

1.2.3 Black Sea Bass

Black sea bass are distributed from the Gulf of Maine through the Gulf of Mexico. Genetic studies have identified three stocks within that range. The boundaries of the northern stock are from the Gulf of Maine to Cape Hatteras, North Carolina. This stock is the focus of the black sea bass sections of this document. The stocks in the South Atlantic and Gulf of Mexico are not managed by the Commission and Mid-Atlantic Council.

Essential fish habitat for black sea bass consists of pelagic waters, structured habitat, rough bottom, shellfish beds, sand, and shell. Adults prefer to be near structures such as rocky reefs, coral patches, cobble and rock fields, mussel beds, and shipwrecks. Adult and juvenile black sea bass are mostly found on the continental shelf while young of the year (i.e., fish less than one year old) are primarily found in estuaries. Black sea bass migrate to offshore wintering areas starting in the fall to areas along the shelf edge, and can migrate as far south as the shelf edge off of Virginia. Most return to northern inshore areas by May, showing strong site fidelity during the summer. Black sea bass in the mid-Atlantic spawn between April and October in nearshore continental shelf areas at depths of 20-50 meters (Drohan et al. 2007, NEFSC 2017).

Juvenile and adult black sea bass mostly feed on crustaceans, small fish, and squid (Drohan et al. 2007). The NEFSC food habits database lists spiny dogfish, Atlantic angel shark, skates, spotted hake, summer flounder, windowpane flounder, and monkfish as predators of black sea bass (NEFSC 2020).

Black sea bass are protogynous hermaphrodites, meaning they are born female and some later transition to males around 2-5 years of age. Male black sea bass are either of the dominant or subordinate type. Dominant males are larger than subordinate males and develop a bright blue nuchal hump during the spawning season. About 25% of black sea bass are male at 15 cm (about 6 inches), with increasing proportions of males at larger sizes until about 50 cm, when about 70-80% of black sea bass are male. Results from a simulation model highlight the importance of subordinate males in the spawning success of this species. This increases the resiliency of the population to exploitation compared to other species with a more typical protogynous life history. About half of black sea bass are sexually mature by 2 years of age and 21 cm (about 8 inches) in length. Black sea bass reach a maximum size of about 60 cm (about 24 inches) and a maximum age of about 12 years (NEFSC 2017, Blaylock and Shepherd 2016).

A black sea bass operational stock assessment was peer reviewed and accepted in August 2019. This assessment retained the model structure of the previous benchmark stock assessment, completed in 2016 (NEFSC 2017), and incorporated fishery data and fishery-independent survey data through 2018, including revised recreational data provided by MRIP for 1989-2018 (NEFSC 2019b).

The 2019 operational assessment has a regional structure. The stock was modeled as two separate sub-units (north and south) divided approximately at Hudson Canyon. Each sub-unit was modeled separately and the average F, combined biomass, and SSB across sub-units were used to develop stock-wide reference points. As with the 2016 benchmark assessment, the

peer reviewers of the 2019 operational assessment concluded that "although the two-area model had a more severe retrospective pattern in opposite directions in each area sub-unit than when a single unit was assumed, it provides reasonable model estimates after the retrospective corrections and combining the two spatial units. Thus, even though reference points are generated and stock status determinations are conducted for each subunit, the combined projections should be used" (NEFSC 2019b).

Due to the lack of a stock/recruit relationship, a direct calculation of MSY and associated reference points (F and SSB) was not feasible and proxy reference points were used. SSB calculations and SSB reference points account for mature males and females. Due to the addition of a second selectivity time block for the non-trawl fleet in the 2019 operational assessment (1989-2008 and 2009-2018, compared to 1989-2015 in the 2016 benchmark assessment), the age at full selection changed from 4-7 in the 2016 benchmark assessment to 6-7 in the 2019 operational assessment (NEFSC 2019b).

A comparison of the 2018 SSB and F estimates to the reference points suggests that the black sea bass stock north of Cape Hatteras, North Carolina was not overfished and overfishing was not occurring in 2018. SSB in 2018 was estimated at 73.65 million pounds (33,407 mt, adjusted for retrospective bias), 2.4 times the updated biomass reference point (i.e., $SSB_{MSY\ proxy}$ = $SSB_{40\%}$=31.07 million pounds/14,092 mt). The average fishing mortality rate on fully selected ages 6-7 fish in 2018 was 0.42 (adjusted for retrospective bias), 91% of the updated fishing mortality threshold reference point (i.e., $F_{MSY\ proxy}$ = $F_{40\%}$ = 0.46). The 2018 estimates of F and SSB were adjusted for internal model retrospective error. Figure 5, Figure 6, and Figure 7 show the time series of estimated SSB, recruitment, fishing mortality, and catch without retrospective adjustments (NEFSC 2019b).

The 2011 year class was estimated to be the largest in the time series at 144.7 million fish. The 2015 year class was the second largest at 79.4 million fish. Recruitment of the 2017 year class as age 1 in 2018 was estimated at 16.0 million, well below the 1989-2018 average of 36 million fish (Figure 6).



Figure 5. Estimates of black sea bass spawning stock biomass and fully-recruited fishing mortality relative to the updated biological reference points from the 2019 operational stock assessment. The red filled circle with 90% confidence intervals shows the un-adjusted 2018 estimates. The open circle shows the retrospectively adjusted estimates for 2018. (Source: NEFSC 2019b).



Figure 6. Black sea bass SSB and recruitment, 1989-2018 from the 2019 operational stock assessment. The horizontal dashed line is the updated biomass reference point. (Source: NEFSC 2019b).



Figure 7. Total black sea bass catch and fishing mortality, 1989-2018, from the 2019 operational stock assessment. (Source: NEFSC 2019b).

1.3 DESCRIPTION OF THE FISHERIES

1.3.1 Summer Flounder

Summer flounder support important commercial and recreational fisheries along the U.S. Atlantic coast. Data for all fisheries dead catch components (commercial landings, commercial dead discards, recreational landings, and recreational dead discards) are available dating back to 1989. Commercial landings have accounted for 38% of the total catch since 1989, with recreational landings accounting for 45%, commercial dead discards about 8%, and recreational dead discards about 9%. Over the more recent time period of 2014-2018, the comparable percentages are 33% commercial landings, 46% recreational landings, 8% commercial dead discards, and 13% recreational dead discards (Figure 8).

Commercial dead discards have accounted for about 19% of the total commercial catch 2014-2018, assuming a discard mortality rate of 80%. Recreational dead discards have accounted for 22% of the total recreational catch over 2014-2018, assuming a discard mortality rate of 10%.



Figure 8. Commercial and recreational summer flounder landings and dead discards, 1982-2018. Data retrieved from the Northeast Fisheries Science Center 2019 data update. Commercial discard estimates prior to 1989 are not available.

Summer Flounder Commercial Fishery

The commercial quota is divided among the states based on the allocation percentages outlined in the FMP. In March 2019, the Council and Board approved Amendment 21 to the Summer Flounder, Scup, and Black Sea Bass FMP which modified the commercial state allocation system for summer flounder. The revised allocation system, effective January 1, 2021, modifies the state-specific allocations of the commercial quota in years when the annual coastwide commercial quota exceeds the specified trigger of 9.55 million pounds. Up to 9.55 million pounds of annual coastwide commercial quota is distributed according to the previous state allocations (column A in Table 1), and, in years when the coastwide quota exceeds 9.55 million pounds, the surplus quota will be distributed in equal shares to all states except Maine, Delaware, and New Hampshire, which will split 1% of the surplus quota (column B in Table 1). The total percentage allocated annually to each state is dependent on how much additional quota is available beyond 9.55 million pounds, if any, to be distributed in any given year. This allocation system is designed to provide for more equitable distribution of quota when stock biomass is higher while also considering the historic importance of the fishery to each state.

Table 1. Revised summer flounder commercial allocation system adopted by the Council and Board in March 2019 and implemented via Amendment 21 to the FMP, effective January 1, 2021.

State	A) Allocation of baseline quota ≤9.55 mil lbs	B) Allocation of <u>additional</u> quota <u>beyond</u> 9.55 mil lbs
ME	0.04756%	0.333%
NH	0.00046%	0.333%
MA	6.82046%	12.375%
RI	15.68298%	12.375%
CT	2.25708%	12.375%
NY	7.64699%	12.375%
NJ	16.72499%	12.375%
DE	0.01779%	0.333%
MD	2.03910%	12.375%
VA	21.31676%	12.375%
NC	27.44584%	12.375%
Total	100%	100%

A moratorium permit is required to sell summer flounder caught in federal waters. In 2019, 738 vessels held such permits. Typically, between 90% and 98% of the summer flounder landings are taken by bottom otter trawl gear, depending on the dataset. All other gear types each accounted for less than 1 percent of landings. Current regulations require a 14-inch total length minimum fish size in the commercial fishery. Trawl nets are required to have 5.5-inch diamond or 6-inch square minimum mesh in the entire net for vessels possessing more than the threshold amount of summer flounder (i.e., 200 lb from November 1-April 30 and 100 lb from May 1-October 31).

Commercial landings of summer flounder peaked in 1984 at 37.77 million pounds and reached a low of 5.83 million pounds in 2017. In 2019, commercial fishermen from Maine through North Carolina landed 9.06 million pounds of summer flounder, about 83% of the 10.98 million pound commercial quota (after deductions for prior year landings and discard overages). Total ex-vessel value in 2019 was $28.54 million, resulting in an average price per pound of $3.15 (Figure 9).

For 1994 through 2019, NOAA Fisheries dealer data indicate that summer flounder total ex-vessel revenue from Maine to North Carolina ranged from a low of $21.93 million in 1996 to a high of $36.16 million in 2005 (values adjusted to 2019 dollars to account for inflation). The mean price per pound ranged from a low of $1.86 in 2002 to a high of $4.40 in 2017 (both values in 2019 dollars). In 2019, 9.06 million pounds of summer flounder were landed generating $28.54 million in total ex-vessel revenue (an average of $3.15 per pound; Figure 9).



Figure 9. Landings, ex-vessel value, and price per pound for summer flounder, Maine through North Carolina, 1994-2019. Ex-vessel value and price are adjusted to real 2019 dollars using the Gross Domestic Product Price Deflator (GDPDEF).

Table 2 shows commercial landings of summer flounder by state in 2015-2019. As a percentage of coastwide landings, landings by state have generally been stable in recent years (Figure 10). From 1993 to 2020, state-level allocations have remained constant, and utilization rates have generally been high among all states involved in the summer flounder fishery.

Commercial summer flounder landings from Maine, New Hampshire, and Delaware are not shown in Figure 10 since landings are minimal, if they occur at all. Delaware landings have consistently been 0.1% or less of coastwide landings each year since 1993 and have averaged less than 0.01% in recent years.

Table 2. State Commercial Summer Flounder Landings in lbs (2015-2019). C = confidential data. Source: Unpublished **NOAA Fisheries** commercial fish dealer data (i.e, "DERS"), which include both state and federal dealer data).

State	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Massachusetts	748,744	585,647	420,733	427,179	551,399
Rhode Island	1,716,507	1,305,216	897,434	1,022,716	1,662,585
Connecticut	286,770	190,793	134,106	176,587	290,483
New York	830,829	604,079	500,461	461,615	870,363
New Jersey	1,687,866	1,286,136	961,866	1,049,625	1,598,299
Delaware	C	C	C	C	C
Maryland	208,379	158,971	103,285	146,466	155,916
Virginia	2,282,508	1,567,404	1,252,662	1,259,983	1,926,512
North Carolina	2,912,158	2,107,147	1,550,328	1,598,332	2,003,468



Figure 10. Percentage of coastwide summer flounder commercial landings by state 2015-2019, Massachusetts through North Carolina (excluding Delaware). Delaware accounts for less than 0.1% of landings each year. Source: Unpublished NOAA Fisheries commercial fish dealer data (i.e, "DERS"), which include both state and federal dealer data).

According to federal vessel trip report (VTR) data, statistical areas 616 and 537 were responsible for the highest percentage of commercial summer flounder catch (27% and 23% respectively; Table 3). While statistical area 539 accounted for only 6% of 2019 summer flounder catch, this area had the highest number of trips that caught summer flounder (2,510 trips). Note that all information on VTRs is self-reported by captains (Table 3; Figure 11).

Table 3. Statistical areas that accounted for at least 5 percent of the total summer flounder catch in 2019, with associated number of trips.

Statistical Area	Percent of 2019 Commercial Summer Flounder Catch	Number of Trips
616	27%	1,052
537	23%	1,469
613	13%	1,455
622	8%	272
612	7%	1,076
539	6%	2,510

At least 100,000 pounds of summer flounder were landed by commercial fishermen in 17 ports in 8 states in 2019. These ports accounted for 87% of all 2019 commercial summer flounder landings. Point Judith, RI and Beaufort, NC were the leading ports in 2019 for pounds of

summer flounder landed, while Point Judith, RI was the leading port for number of vessels landing summer flounder (Table 4).



Figure 11. Proportion of summer flounder catch by NOAA Fisheries statistical area in 2019 based on federal VTR data. Statistical areas marked "confidential" are associated with fewer than three vessels and/or dealers. Statistical areas with confidential data collectively accounted for less than 1% of commercial catch reported on VTRs in 2019. The amount of catch (landings and discards) that was not reported on federal VTRs (e.g., catch from vessels permitted to fish only in state waters) is unknown. Northeast Fisheries Science Center Data ("AA tables") suggest that 8% of total commercial landings (state and federal) in 2019 were not associated with a statistical area reported in federal VTRs.

Table 4. Ports reporting at least 100,000 pounds of commercial summer flounder landings in 2019, based on dealer data.

Port	Commercial summer flounder landings (lb)	% of total 2019 commercial summer flounder landings	Number of vessels landing summer flounder
POINT JUDITH, RI	1,446,867	16%	120
BEAUFORT, NC	1,220,608	13%	61
HAMPTON, VA	975,621	11%	58
PT. PLEASANT, NJ	936,899	10%	48
NEWPORT NEWS, VA	713,569	8%	49
MONTAUK, NY	494,045	5%	68
WANCHESE, NC	244,898	3%	14
BELFORD, NJ	235,410	3%	16
CAPE MAY, NJ	226,271	2%	44
ENGELHARD, NC	221,177	2%	10
NEW BEDFORD, MA	214,518	2%	53
CHINCOTEAGUE, VA	212,628	2%	23
HAMPTON BAYS, NY	186,292	2%	31
ORIENTAL, NC	158,368	2%	8

Summer Flounder Recreational Fishery

There is a significant recreational fishery for summer flounder, primarily in state waters when the fish migrate inshore during the warm summer months. Summer flounder have historically been highly sought by sport fishermen, especially in New York and New Jersey waters. Characteristics of the recreational fishery are summarized in the sections below.

NOAA Fisheries has conducted recreational fishing surveys since 1979 to obtain estimates of participation, effort, and catch by recreational anglers in marine waters. Recreational data for 2004 and later are available from the MRIP. Prior to 2004, recreational data were generated by the Marine Recreational Fishery Statistics Survey (MRFSS). Note that MRIP has recently undergone major changes in its collection of effort data,[3] as well as changes to its angler intercept methods for private boat and shore anglers.[4] As such, major changes to the time series of recreational catch and landings were released in July 2018. A more detailed description of the revisions to the MRIP sampling methodology may be found in Section 1.1.1. The revised MRIP data are used in the summary of the recreational fishery below.

Recreational harvest for summer flounder peaked in 1983 at an estimated 36.74 million pounds landed. Recreational harvest dropped in the 1980s to a low of 5.66 million pounds in 1989, corresponding with a decline in overall stock biomass over the same time frame. Starting in 1993, coastwide RHLs were implemented for the recreational fishery. Recreational harvest

[3] See https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/recreational-fishing-data/effort-survey-improvements

[4] See https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/event/access-point-angler-intercept-survey-calibration-workshop

generally increased throughout the 1990s, and then began to decline after about 2000, in part due to decreases in the RHL. In 2019, recreational anglers harvested 7.80 million pounds of summer flounder. From 2010-2019, an average of 86.5% of the harvest (in pounds) originated from private/rental boats, while party/charter boats and shore-based anglers accounted for an average of 4.6% and 8.9% of the harvest, respectively (Figure 12). Recreational dead discard estimates ranged from a low of 0.19 million pounds in 1989 to a high of 5.98 million pounds in 2011. Recreational dead discards averaged 14% of total catch from 2009 to 2018 (Table 5).



Figure 12. The percent of summer flounder harvested by recreational fishing mode in numbers of fish, Maine through North Carolina, 2010-2019. Source: Personal Communication with the National Marine Fisheries Service, Fisheries Statistics Division, November 18, 2020

Table 5. Recreational summer flounder landings, catch, and mean weight of landed fish, Maine through North Carolina, 1981-2019. Source: MRIP

Year	Catch (numbers of fish)	Landings (numbers of fish)	Landings (lb)	Mean weight of landed fish (lb)
1981	22,764,996	17,017,575	15,854,414	0.93
1982	26,068,143	19,294,418	23,717,755	1.23
1983	36,351,038	25,780,410	36,740,016	1.43
1984	39,817,437	23,448,651	28,225,588	1.20
1985	26,281,245	21,388,987	25,142,403	1.18
1986	32,517,894	16,383,583	26,465,976	1.62
1987	29,936,826	11,926,130	23,453,212	1.97
1988	25,452,018	14,821,583	20,786,915	1.40
1989	5,064,611	3,103,367	5,657,136	1.82
1990	15,473,585	6,074,360	7,753,758	1.28
1991	24,831,911	9,833,938	12,905,506	1.31
1992	21,110,940	8,786,840	12,668,638	1.44
1993	36,182,494	9,800,527	13,729,937	1.40
1994	26,107,588	9,823,384	14,287,672	1.45
1995	27,836,448	5,473,382	9,017,103	1.65
1996	29,744,785	10,184,119	15,020,721	1.47
1997	31,866,871	11,036,807	18,524,759	1.68
1998	39,085,859	12,371,010	22,857,800	1.85
1999	42,878,662	8,096,243	16,696,341	2.06
2000	43,257,486	13,045,422	27,025,386	2.07
2001	43,677,692	8,029,216	18,556,023	2.31
2002	34,480,722	6,505,337	16,286,552	2.50
2003	36,211,634	8,208,884	21,486,707	2.62
2004	37,945,213	8,157,992	21,199,825	2.60
2005	45,979,974	7,044,371	18,545,254	2.63
2006	37,903,008	6,946,548	18,632,354	2.68
2007	35,264,760	4,849,806	13,888,850	2.86
2008	39,482,693	3,781,123	12,339,583	3.26
2009	50,622,466	3,645,119	11,656,844	3.20
2010	58,890,946	3,511,546	11,335,965	3.23
2011	56,043,009	4,326,867	13,483,852	3.12
2012	44,704,755	5,737,284	16,133,620	2.81
2013	44,962,178	6,600,546	19,414,043	2.94
2014	44,577,814	5,364,891	16,234,585	3.03
2015	34,140,115	4,034,036	11,829,854	2.93
2016	31,238,651	4,301,669	13,238,819	3.08
2017	28,075,235	3,174,950	10,088,244	3.18
2018	23,545,865	2,412,514	7,599,646	3.15
2019	30,743,494	2,383,228	7,798,280	3.27

On average, an estimated 83 percent of the landings (in numbers of fish) occurred in state waters over the past ten years (Figure 13). By state, the majority of summer flounder are typically landed in New York and New Jersey (Table 6).



Figure 13. Estimated percentage of summer flounder recreational landings (numbers of fish) in state vs. federal waters, Maine through North Carolina, 2010-2019. Source: Personal Communication with the National Marine Fisheries Service, Fisheries Statistics Division, November 19, 2020

Table 6. State contribution (as a percentage) to total recreational landings of summer flounder (in numbers of fish), from Maine through North Carolina, 2017-2019. Source: Personal Communication with the National Marine Fisheries Service, Fisheries Statistics Division, November 19, 2020.

State	2017	2018	2019	Avg 2017-2019
Maine	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
New Hampshire	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Massachusetts	2.1%	2.8%	2.3%	2.4%
Rhode Island	4.9%	7.0%	9.0%	6.8%
Connecticut	3.8%	6.3%	3.8%	4.6%
New York	37.4%	26.6%	23.5%	30.0%
New Jersey	38.1%	43.3%	46.5%	42.2%
Delaware	3.1%	3.5%	3.8%	3.4%
Maryland	1.8%	2.0%	3.3%	2.3%
Virginia	5.9%	6.0%	6.3%	6.1%
North Carolina	2.9%	2.4%	1.5%	2.3%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

1.3.2 Scup

Scup are highly sought after by commercial and recreational fishermen throughout Southern New England and the Mid-Atlantic. Data for all fisheries dead catch components (commercial landings, commercial dead discards, recreational landings, and recreational dead discards) are available back to 1988. Commercial landings have accounted for 45% of the total catch since 1988, with recreational landings accounting for 36%, commercial dead discards about 16%, and recreational dead discards about 3%. Over the more recent time period of 2014-2018, the comparable percentages are 45% commercial landings, 33% recreational landings, 17% commercial dead discards, and 5% recreational dead discards (Figure 14).

Commercial dead discards have accounted for about 27% of the total commercial catch during 2014-2018, assuming a discard mortality rate of 100%. Recreational dead discards have accounted for 12% of the total recreational catch over 2014-2018, assuming a discard mortality rate of 15%.



Figure 14. Commercial and recreational scup landings and dead discards, 1981-2018. Data retrieved from the 2019 Northeast Fisheries Science Center Scup Operational Assessment.

Scup Commercial Fishery

The commercial scup fishery operates year-round, taking place primarily in federal waters during the winter and state waters during the summer. A coast-wide commercial quota is allocated between three quota periods, known as the winter I, summer, and winter II quota periods (Table 7). These seasonal quota periods were established to ensure that both smaller day boats, which typically operate near shore in the summer months, and larger vessels operating offshore in the winter months can land scup before the annual quota is reached. Both winter periods are managed under a coastwide quota while the summer period quota is divided among states according to the allocation percentages outlined in the FMP (Table 8).

Once the quota for a given period is reached, the commercial fishery is closed for the remainder of that period. If the full winter I quota is not harvested, unused quota is added to the winter II period. Any quota overages during the winter I and II periods are subtracted from the quota allocated to those periods in the following year. Quota overages during the summer period are subtracted from the following year's quota only in the states where the overages occurred.

A possession limit of 50,000 pounds is in effect during the winter I quota period. A possession limit of 12,000 pounds is in effect during the winter II period. If the winter I quota is not reached, the winter II possession limit increases by 1,500 pounds for every 500,000 pounds of quota not caught during winter I. During the summer period, various state-specific possession limits are in effect.

Table 7. Dates, allocations, and possession limits for the commercial scup quota periods. Winter period possession limits apply in both state and federal waters.

Quota Period	Dates	% of commercial quota allocated	Possession limit
Winter I	January 1 – April 30	45.11%	50,000 pounds, until 80% of winter I allocation is reached, then reduced to 1,000 pounds.
Summer	May 1 – September 30	38.95%	State-specific
Winter II	October 1 – December 31	15.94%	12,000 pounds. If winter I quota is not reached, the winter II possession limit increases by 1,500 pounds for every 500,000 pounds of scup not landed during winter I.

Table 8. State quota shares for the commercial scup fishery during the summer quota period (May-September).

State	Share of summer quota
Maine	0.1210%
Massachusetts	21.5853%
Rhode Island	56.1894%
Connecticut	3.1537%
New York	15.8232%
New Jersey	2.9164%
Maryland	0.0119%
Virginia	0.1650%
North Carolina	0.0249%
Total	99.9908%

Trawl vessels may not possess 1,000 pounds or more of scup during October 1 – April 15, 2,000 pounds or more April 15 – June 15, or 200 pounds or more during June 15 – September 30, unless they use a minimum mesh size of 5-inch diamond mesh, applied throughout the codend for at least 75 continuous meshes forward of the terminus of the net. In addition, the roller rig

trawl roller diameter may not exceed 18 inches. Pots and traps for scup are required to have degradable hinges and escape vents that are either circular with a 3.1 inch minimum diameter or square with a minimum length of 2.25 inches on the side.

In 2019, commercial fishermen landed 13.78 million pounds of scup, about 57% of the commercial quota. Over the past two decades, total scup ex-vessel revenue ranged from a low of $4.8 million in 2000 to a high of $12.2 million in 2015. In 2019, 13.78 million pounds of scup were landed by commercial fishermen from Maine through North Carolina. Total ex-vessel value in 2019 was $9.20 million, resulting in an average price per pound of $0.67. All revenue and price values were adjusted to 2019 dollars to account for inflation (Figure 15).



Figure 15. Landings, ex-vessel value, and price for scup from Maine through North Carolina, 1994-2019. Ex-vessel value and price are inflation-adjusted to 2019 dollars using the Gross Domestic Product Price Deflator. Source: Unpublished NOAA Fisheries commercial fish dealer data (i.e., "DERS"), which include both state and federal dealer data).

In general, the price of scup tends to be lower when landings are higher, and vice versa. This relationship is not linear and many other factors besides landings also influence price. The highest average price per pound over the past two decades was $2.18 in 1998, and the lowest average price per pound was $0.60 in 2013 (Figure 15).

Table 9 shows commercial landings of scup by state in 2015-2019. State landings have fluctuated some in recent years (Figure 16). Most notably, Rhode Island's contribution to the coastwide total landings has decreased in recent years. Most harvest occurs within

Massachusetts, Rhode Island, Connecticut, New York, and New Jersey. Commercial scup landings from Maine, New Hampshire, and Delaware are not shown in Figure 16 since landings are minimal, if they occur at all.

Table 9. State Commercial Scup Landings in lbs (2015-2019). C = confidential data. Source: Unpublished NOAA Fisheries commercial fish dealer data (i.e., "DERS"), which include both state and federal dealer data).

State	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Massachusetts	1,380,256	1,535,953	2,564,229	1,483,151	1,249,085
Rhode Island	6,798,185	6,815,478	5,968,327	4,713,371	4,586,975
Connecticut	981,407	933,140	751,955	793,806	1,140,224
New York	4,102,589	3,509,145	3,478,441	3,342,569	4,069,395
New Jersey	2,981,577	2,333,578	1,844,573	2,474,239	1,835,545
Delaware	C	C	C	C	C
Maryland	29,430	53,535	75,280	42,808	222,251
Virginia	510,930	447,218	557,833	441,544	462,085
North Carolina	245,584	127,656	204,673	76,916	218,113



Figure 16. Percentage of coastwide scup commercial landings by state 2015-2019, Massachusetts through North Carolina (excluding Delaware). Delaware accounts for less than 0.1% of landings each year. Source: Unpublished NOAA Fisheries commercial fish dealer data (i.e, "DERS"), which include both state and federal dealer data).

VTR data suggest that NOAA Fisheries statistical areas 537, 613, 616, 539 and 611 were responsible for the largest percentage of commercial scup catch in 2019. Statistical area 539, off Rhode Island, had the highest number of trips which caught scup (Table 10; Figure 17).

Table 10. Statistical areas which accounted for at least 5% of the total commercial scup catch (by weight) in 2019, with associated number of trips. Unpublished NOAA Fisheries dealer data (i.e., "AA tables", which include both state and federal dealer data).

Statistical area	% of 2019 commercial scup catch	Number of trips
537	22%	1,060
613	21%	1,141
616	20%	627
539	12%	2,268
611	6%	1,729

The commercial scup fishery in state and federals waters is predominantly a bottom otter trawl fishery. In 2019, about 81% of the commercial scup landings (by weight) reported by state and

federal dealers were caught with bottom otter trawls. Pots/traps accounted for about 5% of landings, handlines accounted for 2% of landings, while all other gear types each accounted for 1% or less of the 2019 commercial scup landings. Nine percent of landings reported by dealers were of an unknown gear type. This includes landings from vessels that are only permitted to fish in state waters and do not submit federal VTRs, resulting in incomplete information on gear type in the data set.

At least 100,000 pounds of scup were landed by commercial fishermen in 18 ports in 6 states in 2019. These ports accounted for approximately 90% of all 2019 commercial scup landings. Point Judith, Rhode Island was the leading port, both in terms of landings and number of vessels landing scup (Table 11).



Figure 17. Proportion of scup catch by statistical area in 2019 based on federal VTR data. Statistical areas marked "confidential" are associated with fewer than three vessels and/or dealers. Statistical areas with confidential data collectively accounted for less than 1% of commercial catch reported on VTRs in 2019. Northeast Fisheries Science Center Data ("AA tables") suggest that 18% of total commercial landings (state and federal) in 2019 were not associated with a statistical area reported in federal VTRs. Source: Unpublished NOAA Fisheries Vessel Trip Report data.

Table 11. Ports reporting at least 100,000 pounds of commercial scup landings in 2019, based on dealer data.

Port	Scup Landings (lb)	% of total commercial scup landings	Number of vessels landing scup
POINT JUDITH, RI	3,831,399	28%	127
MONTAUK, NY	2,939,960	21%	76
PT. PLEASANT, NJ	1,382,156	10%	36
NEW BEDFORD, MA	902,313	7%	52
STONINGTON, CT	539,479	4%	19
MATTITUCK, NY	326,299	2%	7
NEW LONDON, CT	325,359	2%	7
HAMPTON BAYS, NY	315,355	2%	30
CAPE MAY, NJ	304,501	2%	20
HAMPTON, VA	275,071	2%	39
LITTLE COMPTON, RI	236,024	2%	11
OCEAN CITY, MD	222,251	2%	4
EAST HAVEN, CT	196,976	1%	7
WARWICK, RI	164,180	1%	C
AMMAGANSETT, NY	142,573	1%	C
BELFORD, NJ	127,752	1%	15
NEWPORT, RI	121,788	1%	11
CHINCOTEAGUE, VA	109,757	1%	12

Scup Recreational Fishery

Scup are highly sought after by recreational anglers throughout Southern New England and the Mid-Atlantic with the greatest proportion of catch taken in the states of Massachusetts through New York. Scup are a migratory schooling species and abundance is primarily influenced by water temperature, making them a popular target of anglers during the spring and summer months when they aggregate inshore to spawn. The 2018 MRIP recalibration resulted in higher harvest estimates throughout the time series, with more divergence in recent years. The revised MRIP data is used in describing the characteristics of the scup recreational fishery in the sections below.

The recreational fishery for scup is significant, with recreational anglers accounting for 21-75% of total dead scup catch from 1988-2018. From 1981-2019, recreational catch of scup peaked in 2017 at 41.20 million scup and landings peaked in 1986 with an estimated 30.43 million scup landed by recreational fishermen from Maine through North Carolina. Recreational catch was lowest in 1997 with an estimated 6.60 million scup caught and 3.64 million scup landed. Recreational anglers from Maine through North Carolina caught an estimated 28.67 million scup and landed 14.95 million scup (about 14.12 million pounds) in 2019 (Table 12).

Based on MRIP estimates, about 56% of recreational scup landings (in numbers of fish) in 2019 were from anglers who fished on private or rental boats. About 15% were from anglers fishing on party or charter boats, and about 29% were from anglers fishing from shore (Figure 18).

Most recreational scup harvest occurs in state waters during the warmer months when the fish migrate inshore. Between 2017 and 2019, about 97% of recreational scup landings (in numbers of fish) occurred in state waters and about 3% occurred in federal waters (Figure 19). Massachusetts, Rhode Island, Connecticut, New York and New Jersey accounted for over 99.9% of recreational scup harvest in 2019 (Table 13).



Figure 18. The percent of scup harvested by recreational fishing mode in numbers of fish, Maine through North Carolina, 2010-2019. Source: Personal Communication with the National Marine Fisheries Service, Fisheries Statistics Division, November 19, 2020

Table 12. Recreational scup landings, catch, and mean weight of landed fish, Maine through North Carolina, 1981-2019. Source: MRIP

Year	Catch (number of fish)	Landings (number of fish)	Landings (lbs)	Mean weight of landed fish (lb)
1981	19,682,427	17,306,715	11,142,808	0.64
1982	13,144,424	10,831,746	8,616,308	0.80
1983	13,781,182	12,189,386	8,621,722	0.71
1984	11,379,028	8,780,947	3,283,595	0.37
1985	24,564,765	18,837,853	11,292,539	0.60
1986	37,311,025	30,428,119	14,175,636	0.47
1987	18,108,256	14,030,569	10,409,377	0.74
1988	12,135,744	9,387,808	7,034,147	0.75
1989	23,728,813	19,323,875	10,540,661	0.55
1990	18,263,733	14,040,609	7,172,993	0.51
1991	27,408,916	21,896,663	12,912,660	0.59
1992	20,961,940	16,495,873	9,454,191	0.57
1993	10,705,511	8,401,830	4,631,187	0.55
1994	8,857,521	6,578,378	4,329,138	0.66
1995	6,783,845	4,063,766	2,270,722	0.56
1996	10,380,915	6,266,686	4,417,936	0.70
1997	6,595,887	3,639,312	2,539,961	0.70
1998	6,855,801	2,738,350	1,816,527	0.66
1999	10,986,627	7,413,089	4,625,639	0.62
2000	22,057,668	14,942,136	11,391,602	0.76
2001	21,933,490	11,132,585	9,774,943	0.88
2002	17,359,007	7,074,231	6,229,973	0.88
2003	28,629,886	17,519,827	17,208,925	0.98
2004	26,791,386	12,943,025	12,827,920	0.99
2005	13,193,600	4,487,025	4,296,294	0.96
2006	20,073,152	5,521,172	5,926,311	1.07
2007	17,804,784	7,457,872	7,099,945	0.95
2008	19,513,012	5,650,032	5,760,290	1.02
2009	20,748,181	6,064,111	6,284,583	1.04
2010	25,134,562	10,598,650	12,477,168	1.18
2011	18,520,338	7,598,242	10,322,642	1.36
2012	21,237,835	7,334,829	8,269,295	1.13
2013	25,878,520	11,547,028	12,635,882	1.09
2014	20,876,838	9,488,944	10,270,446	1.08
2015	25,154,964	11,498,780	12,174,253	1.06
2016	31,493,863	9,143,576	9,999,289	1.09
2017	41,199,436	13,820,613	13,526,579	0.98
2018	30,374,926	14,545,491	12,977,417	0.89
2019	28,666,419	14,954,156	14,116,223	0.94



Figure 19. Estimated percentage of scup recreational landings (numbers of fish) in state vs. federal waters, Maine through North Carolina, 2010-2019. Source: Personal Communication with the National Marine Fisheries Service, Fisheries Statistics Division, November 19, 2020

Table 13. State contribution (as a percentage) to total recreational landings of scup (in numbers of fish), from Maine through North Carolina, 2017-2019. Source: Personal Communication with the National Marine Fisheries Service, Fisheries Statistics Division, November 19, 2020.

State	2017	2018	2019	Avg 2017-2019
Maine	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
New Hampshire	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Massachusetts	15.1%	22.5%	13.1%	16.9%
Rhode Island	10.0%	16.3%	21.9%	16.1%
Connecticut	12.3%	21.1%	16.7%	16.7%
New York	46.8%	36.9%	47.6%	43.8%
New Jersey	15.8%	3.2%	0.7%	6.5%
Delaware	<0.1%	<0.1%	0.0%	<0.1%
Maryland	<0.1%	<0.1%	<0.1%	<0.1%
Virginia	0.0%	0.0%	<0.1%	<0.1%
North Carolina	<0.1%	<0.1%	<0.1%	<0.1%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%

1.3.3 Black Sea Bass

Black sea bass support important commercial and recreational fisheries along the US Atlantic coast. Data for all dead catch components (commercial landings, commercial dead discards, recreational landings, and recreational dead discards) are available back to 1989. Commercial landings have accounted for 30% of the total dead catch since 1988, with recreational landings accounting for 53%, commercial dead discards about 4%, and recreational dead discards about 13%. Over the more recent time period of 2014-2018, the comparable percentages are 17% commercial landings, 60% recreational landings, 8% commercial dead discards, and 15% recreational dead discards (Figure 20).

Commercial dead discards have accounted for about 33% of the total commercial catch from 2014-2018, assuming a discard mortality rate of 100% in the commercial trawl fishery and 15% in the commercial non-trawl fishery. Recreational dead discards have accounted for 20% of the total recreational catch over 2014-2018, assuming a discard mortality rate of 15%.



Figure 20. Commercial and recreational black sea bass landings and discards, 1989-2018. Data retrieved from the 2019 Northeast Fisheries Science Center Black Sea Bass Operational Assessment.

Black Sea Bass Commercial Fishery

The commercial quota is divided among the states based on the allocation percentages established in the FMP. States set measures to achieve their state-specific commercial quotas. In February 2021, the Council and Board approved Addendum 33 to the Summer Flounder, Scup, and Black Sea Bass FMP, which modified the commercial state allocation system for black sea bass. The revised allocation system, effective January 1, 2022, addresses significant changes in the distribution of black sea bass that have occurred since the original allocations were implemented in 2003, while also accounting for the states' historical harvest of black sea bass. New York and Connecticut's baseline allocations have changed from 7% and 1% of the

coastwide quota to 8% and 3%, respectively. This change addressed these two states' disproportionally low allocations compared to the increased availability of black sea bass in state waters of Long Island Sound. The remaining state shares (with the exception of Maine and New Hampshire) were allocated using their adjusted historical allocations (to account for New York and Connecticut's change; Table 14). Each state's total quota under the Addendum 33 allocation system is determined by adding together the state's baseline allocation and a regional allocation based on the most recent stock assessment.

Table 14. Revised black sea bass state by state baseline allocation of annual commercial quota, as adopted by the Council and Board in February 2021 and implemented via Addendum 33 to the FMP, effective January 1, 2022. The final allocations are the baseline quota plus the regional biomass distribution based on the results of the most recent stock assessment.

State	Baseline Percent Allocation
ME	0.25%
NH	0.25%
MA	12.62%
RI	10.68%
CT	3.00%
NY	8.00%
NJ - N	9.71%
NJ - S	9.71%
DE	5.00%
MD	10.68%
VA	19.42%
NC	10.68%
Total	100%

A minimum commercial black sea bass size limit of 11 inches total length has been in place since 2002. There is no commercial possession limit for black sea bass in federal waters; however, states set possession limits for state waters. Any vessel which uses otter trawl gear and catches more than 500 pounds of black sea bass from January through March, or more than 100 pounds from April through December, must use nets with a minimum mesh size of 4.5-inch diamond mesh applied throughout the codend for at least 75 continuous meshes forward of the end of the net. In addition, the roller rig trawl roller diameter may not exceed 18 inches. Pots and traps used to commercially harvest black sea bass must have two escape vents with degradable hinges in the parlor. The escape vents must measure 1.375 inches by 5.75 inches if rectangular, 2 inches by 2 inches if square, or have a diameter of 2.5 inches if circular.

Commercial black sea bass landings peaked in 2017 at 3.99 million pounds, and were at their lowest in 2009, when 1.15 million pounds were landed (Figure 21). About 3.48 million pounds

of black sea bass were landed by commercial fishermen in 2019, very close to the commercial quota of 3.52 million pounds.

Black sea bass are a valuable commercial species. Total ex-vessel value averaged $12.40 million per year during 2017-2019. When considered at the annual, coastwide level, the average ex-vessel price per pound (adjusted to 2019 dollars to account for inflation) during 2005-2019 tended to decline with increases in total landings. However, average ex-vessel price remained above $3.00 per pound (in 2019 dollars) throughout this time period, making black sea bass one of the more valuable commercial species in this region.

Table 15 shows commercial landings of black sea bass by state for 2015-2019. As a percentage of coastwide landings, landings by state have generally been stable in recent years and closely align with the state allocations (Figure 22). Commercial black sea bass landings from Maine and New Hampshire are not shown since landings are minimal, if they occur at all.



Figure 21. Landings, ex-vessel value, and average price for black sea bass, ME-NC, 1994-2019. Ex-vessel value and price are inflation-adjusted to 2019 dollars using the Gross Domestic Product Price Deflator. Source: Unpublished NOAA Fisheries commercial fish dealer data (i.e., "DERS"), which include both state and federal dealer data).

Table 15. State Commercial Black Sea Bass Landings in lbs (2015-2019). C = confidential data. Source: Unpublished NOAA Fisheries commercial fish dealer data (i.e., "DERS"), which include both state and federal dealer data).

State	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Massachusetts	347,980	354,069	542,095	480,810	530,827
Rhode Island	238,635	294,693	458,299	376,062	399,524
Connecticut	24,593	28,859	43,742	37,070	61,965
New York	150,898	187,032	296,269	269,371	297,469
New Jersey	471,009	523,120	898,674	697,571	718,486
Delaware	111,510	C	114,033	172,180	169,748
Maryland	349,273	271,809	389,118	391,998	382,006
Virginia	421,661	516,731	745,446	606,664	648,715
North Carolina	348,592	315,661	498,142	384,500	325,714
Total	2,464,151		3,985,818	3,416,226	3,534,454



Figure 22. Percentage of coastwide black sea bass commercial landings by state 2015-2019, Massachusetts through North Carolina. Source: Unpublished NOAA Fisheries commercial fish dealer data (i.e., "DERS"), which include both state and federal dealer data).

According to federal VTR data, statistical area 616, which includes important fishing areas near Hudson Canyon, was responsible for the largest percentage of commercial black sea bass catch (landings and discards) in 2019 (i.e., 39%). Statistical area 621, off southern New Jersey, Delaware, and Maryland accounted for the second highest proportion of catch (9%), followed by statistical area 622 off Delaware (8%), statistical area 615 off New Jersey (7%), and statistical

area 537, south of Massachusetts and Rhode Island (5%; Table 16, Figure 23). Statistical area 611, in Long Island Sound, and statistical area 539, off Rhode Island, had the highest number of trips which reported black sea bass catch on federal VTRs in 2019 (over 1,500 trips each); however, they each accounted for less than 5% of total black sea bass catch.

Table 16. Statistical areas that accounted for at least 5% of the total commercial black sea bass catch in 2019 based on federal VTRs, with associated number of trips. Source: Unpublished NOAA Fisheries VTR data

Statistical Area	Percent of 2019 Commercial Black Sea Bass Catch	Number of Trips
616	39%	761
621	10%	332
622	8%	104
615	7%	175
537	5%	774

At least 100,000 pounds of black sea bass were landed in each of 10 ports in 7 states from Maine through North Carolina in 2019. These 10 ports collectively accounted for over 66% of all commercial black sea bass landings in 2019 (Table 17).

Table 17. Ports reporting at least 100,000 pounds of commercial black sea bass landings in 2019, based on dealer data.

Port	Black Sea Bass Landings (lbs)	% of total commercial black sea bass landings	Number of vessels landing Black Sea Bass
POINT PLEASANT, NJ	395,691	11%	40
OCEAN CITY, MD	369,507	10%	8
POINT JUDITH, RI	284,176	8%	315
HAMPTON, VA	266,307	8%	32
NEW BEDFORD, MA	217,593	6%	192
NEWPORT NEWS, VA	188,542	5%	17
BEAUFORT, NC	163,148	5%	52
CAPE MAY, NJ	161,095	5%	32
MONTAUK, NY	159,324	5%	126
CHINCOTEAGUE, VA	113,229	3%	8



Figure 23. Proportion of black sea bass catch by statistical area in 2019 based on federal VTR data. Statistical areas marked "confidential" are associated with fewer than three vessels and/or dealers. Statistical areas with confidential data collectively accounted for less than 1% of commercial catch reported on VTRs in 2019. The amount of catch that was not reported on federal VTRs (e.g., catch from vessels permitted to fish only in state waters) is unknown. Northeast Fisheries Science Center Data ("AA tables") suggest that 20% of total commercial landings (state and federal) in 2019 were not associated with a statistical area reported on federal VTRs.

Black Sea Bass Recreational Fishery

Black sea bass are also an important recreational species in the Mid-Atlantic. Much of the annual fishing effort occurs during the period that sea bass are inshore (May to September), but season duration varies among the states. In 2018, recreational harvest estimates from MRIP were

recalibrated based on the new Fishing Effort Survey. In general, the recalibration resulted in higher harvest estimates throughout the time series, with more divergence in recent years.

Between 1981 and 2019, recreational catch of black sea bass from Maine through North Carolina was lowest in 1984 at 5.67 million fish and was highest in 2017 at about 47.53 million fish (including live releases). Recreational harvest in weight was highest in 2016 at 12.35 million pounds; however, harvest in numbers of fish was highest in 1986 at 19.67 million fish. Recreational harvest in weight was lowest in 1998 at 1.93 million pounds, while harvest in numbers of fish was lowest in 1999 at 1.72 million fish. In 2019, an estimated 3.99 million black sea bass, at about 7.92 million pounds, were harvested by recreational anglers from Maine through Cape Hatteras, North Carolina (Table 18). From 2010-2019, an average of 84.1% of the harvest (in pounds) originated from private/rental boats, while party/charter boats and shore-based anglers accounted for an average of 1.9% and 14.0% of the harvest, respectively (Figure 24). Recreational dead discard estimates ranged from a low of 0.22 million pounds in 1989 to a high of 3.60 million pounds in 2017. Recreational dead discards averaged 14% of total catch from 2010 to 2019.



Figure 24. The percent of black sea bass harvested by recreational fishing mode in numbers of fish, Maine through North Carolina, 2010-2019. Source: Personal Communication with the National Marine Fisheries Service, Fisheries Statistics Division, May 12, 2020

Table 18. Recreational black sea bass landings, catch, and mean weight of landed fish, Maine through North Carolina, 1981-2019. Source: MRIP

Year	Catch (number of fish)	Landings (number of fish)	Landings (lbs)	Mean weight of landed fish (lbs)
1981	10,302,297	3,431,735	2,101,224	0.61
1982	13,387,625	11,172,192	10,614,787	0.95
1983	9,782,212	5,852,690	5,136,992	0.88
1984	5,666,970	3,223,548	2,378,035	0.74
1985	10,827,931	5,556,972	4,180,036	0.75
1986	30,233,919	19,672,311	11,191,393	0.57
1987	6,415,842	3,084,164	2,177,825	0.71
1988	11,148,291	3,957,287	3,824,173	0.97
1989	12,568,892	7,264,555	5,770,697	0.79
1990	15,044,918	5,563,473	4,240,333	0.76
1991	16,014,778	6,420,550	5,007,585	0.78
1992	12,671,353	5,077,594	4,033,773	0.79
1993	13,081,089	7,439,497	5,881,426	0.79
1994	11,945,280	4,513,083	4,059,122	0.90
1995	19,991,850	7,101,638	5,435,419	0.77
1996	14,681,726	7,443,460	8,184,951	1.10
1997	16,631,810	6,826,489	6,563,226	0.96
1998	9,596,727	1,768,093	1,925,754	1.09
1999	15,506,801	1,719,090	2,220,080	1.29
2000	27,439,329	4,579,718	5,020,838	1.10
2001	22,514,133	4,631,814	6,645,254	1.43
2002	25,876,540	4,718,719	5,856,317	1.24
2003	19,463,038	4,383,299	5,970,617	1.36
2004	15,264,498	2,893,098	3,596,833	1.24
2005	14,770,461	2,347,314	3,653,133	1.56
2006	15,031,996	1,968,384	2,911,422	1.48
2007	16,059,303	2,272,546	3,582,800	1.58
2008	24,912,855	2,535,234	3,678,813	1.45
2009	24,409,019	4,065,964	5,857,509	1.44
2010	28,603,690	5,269,060	8,280,833	1.57
2011	14,883,578	1,889,204	3,422,046	1.81
2012	39,318,647	3,820,688	7,260,011	1.90
2013	28,744,942	3,095,095	5,791,445	1.87
2014	29,149,400	4,306,700	7,803,267	1.81
2015	29,314,181	5,258,234	9,505,659	1.81
2016	41,417,483	6,034,786	12,349,074	2.05
2017	47,525,605	5,997,390	12,007,504	2.00
2018	27,197,564	4,072,017	8,027,770	1.97
2019	35,113,323	4,523,214	8,821,559	1.95

In 2019, 62% of black sea bass harvested by recreational fishermen (in numbers of fish) were caught in state waters and about 38% in federal waters (Figure 25). Most of the recreational harvest in 2019 was landed in New York (34.9%), New Jersey (18.4%), Massachusetts (11.6%), Rhode Island (11.4%), and Connecticut (11.4%; Table 19).



Figure 25. Estimated percentage of black sea bass recreational landings (numbers of fish) in state vs. federal waters, Maine through North Carolina, 2010-2019. Source: Personal Communication with the National Marine Fisheries Service, Fisheries Statistics Division, November 19, 2020

Table 19. State contribution (as a percentage) to total recreational landings of black sea bass (in numbers of fish), from Maine through North Carolina, 2017-2019. Source: Personal Communication with the National Marine Fisheries Service, Fisheries Statistics Division, November 19, 2020

State	2017	2018	2019	Avg 2017-2019
Maine	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
New Hampshire	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Massachusetts	9.5%	16.7%	11.6%	12.6%
Rhode Island	5.5%	17.3%	11.4%	11.4%
Connecticut	8.2%	9.3%	11.4%	9.6%
New York	40.6%	21.0%	34.9%	32.1%
New Jersey	25.0%	25.5%	18.4%	23.0%
Delaware	1.9%	2.2%	1.0%	1.7%
Maryland	2.5%	3.8%	2.9%	3.0%
Virginia	1.6%	2.1%	5.1%	3.0%
North Carolina	5.3%	2.1%	3.4%	3.6%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

1.3.4 Interactions with Other Fisheries

Non-target species are those species caught incidentally while targeting other species, in this case, while targeting summer flounder, scup, or black sea bass. Some non-target species are occasionally retained, others are commonly discarded. This section describes the non-target species commonly caught in the commercial and recreational summer flounder, scup, and black sea bass fisheries and summarizes their management status and stock status.

Identification of Major Non-Target Species

It can be difficult to develop accurate quantitative estimates of catch of non-target species. The intended target species for any given tow or set is not always obvious. Fishermen may intend to target one or multiple species and the intended target species may change mid-trip. For example, the seasonal distributions of summer flounder, scup, and black sea bass are generally similar, and these species are often caught together. In some circumstances, scup can be a non-target species in the black sea bass fishery and vice versa. It is not always clear from the data which species is the primary target, which is a secondary target, and which species are not targeted but are landed if caught incidentally.

In addition, there are limitations to the data used to examine catch and discards (i.e., observer and VTR data). Observer data are available only for commercial fisheries and may not be representative of all fishing activity due to limited coverage and potential differences in behavior when observers are present. VTR data are available for commercial and for-hire fisheries. VTR data can be uncertain as they are based on the harvester's self-reported best estimates of catch, which are not intended to be precise measurements. MRIP is the only source of recreational catch and discard data for private recreational anglers participating in the summer flounder, scup, and black sea bass fisheries. For these reasons, a combination of quantitative and qualitative data were used here to identify relevant non-target species.

Northeast Fisheries Observer Program data from 2015-2019 were analyzed to identify species caught on observed commercial trips for which summer flounder, scup, or black sea bass made up at least 75% of the landings (by weight; a proxy for directed trips). Using this definition of a non-target species, the most common non-target species in the summer flounder fishery include little skate, spiny dogfish, clearnose skate, winter skate, northern sea robin, barndoor skate, and black sea bass. The most common non-target species in the scup fishery include spiny dogfish, little skate, northern sea robin, black sea bass, and summer flounder. The most common non-target species in the black sea bass fishery include sea robins (striped, northern, and unknown), spiny dogfish, scup, and little skate. With the exception of spiny dogfish and striped sea robin, non-target species typically comprised a small portion (<10%) of the overall catch on these trips. All of these species, with the exception of the sea robins, are managed by the Mid-Atlantic or New England Fishery Management Councils and/or the Commission. Northern and striped sea robins are not managed by any agency.

A species guild approach was used to examine non-target species interactions in the recreational summer flounder, scup, and black sea bass fisheries from Maine through Virginia. This analysis identified species that were caught together on 5% or more of recreational trips.

Sea robins, black sea bass, and bluefish were highly correlated with summer flounder in the recreational fishery (J. Brust, personal communication January 2018). Black sea bass, sea robins, tautog, cunner, bluefish, summer flounder, and smooth dogfish were highly correlated with recreational scup catch (J. Brust, personal communication April 2019). Scup, summer flounder, sea robins, Atlantic croaker, and tautog were highly correlated with black sea bass recreational catch (NEFSC 2017).

Description and Status of Major Non-Target Species

The stock status and management status of the non-target species identified above are briefly described below. Management measures for the Mid-Atlantic and New England Fishery Management Council-managed species (skates, spiny dogfish, black sea bass, and scup) include accountability measures (AMs) to address annual catch limit (ACL) overages through reductions in landings limits in following years. AMs for all of these species take discards into account and help to mitigate negative impacts from discards in these and other recreational fisheries. As indicated above, summer flounder, scup, and black sea bass are often caught together and, for some commercial and recreational trips, one or two of these species could be considered non-target species of the other. None of these three stocks are currently overfished or undergoing overfishing, and stock status is described in sections 1.2.1 through 1.2.3.

Spiny Dogfish

Spiny dogfish (*Squalus acanthias*) is a coastal shark with populations on the continental shelves of northern and southern temperate zones throughout the world. It is the most common shark in the western north Atlantic and ranges from Labrador to Florida, but it is found in greatest abundance from Nova Scotia to Cape Hatteras, North Carolina. Its major migrations on the northwest Atlantic shelf are north and south, but it also migrates inshore and offshore seasonally in response to changes in water temperature. Spiny dogfish are jointly managed by the MAFMC and the New England Fishery Management Council (NEFMC); the Commission also has a complementary FMP for state waters.

Spiny dogfish have a long life, late maturation, a long gestation period, and relatively low fecundity, making them vulnerable to depletion. Fish, squid, and ctenophores dominate the stomach contents of spiny dogfish collected during the NEFSC bottom trawl surveys but they are opportunistic and have been found to consume a wide variety of prey. More detailed life history information can be found in the EFH source document for spiny dogfish at: http://www.nefsc.noaa.gov/publications/tm/tm203/tm203.pdf. The 2018 Stock Assessment Update indicates the population is not overfished nor experiencing overfishing. The spawning stock biomass estimate of 235 million pounds is above the SSB threshold of 175 million pounds, while the fishing mortality estimate (0.202) is just below the fishing mortality threshold (0.2439). Despite remaining above the threshold, biomass has declined in recent years, requiring a significant reduction in 2019-2020 to ensure that overfishing does not occur (NEFSC 2018).

Smooth Dogfish

Smooth dogfish are jointly managed by the Commission as a part of the Atlantic Coastal Sharks management plan and NOAA Fisheries as a part of the Atlantic Shark Highly Migratory Species management plan. According to the most recent assessment, the stock is not overfished and overfishing is not occurring (SEDAR 2015).

Northeast Skate

The Northeast skate complex includes seven skate species: *Leucoraja ocellata* (winter skate); *Dipturis laevis* (barndoor skate); *Amblyraja radiata* (thorny skate); *Malacoraja senta* (smooth skate); *Leucoraja erinacea* (little skate); *Raja eglanteria* (clearnose skate); and *Leucoraja garmani* (rosette skate). Little skates are the main skate species identified as non-target species in the scup and black sea bass fisheries. Skate are mostly harvested incidentally in trawl and gillnet fisheries targeting groundfish, monkfish, and scallops. The fishing mortality reference points for skates are based on changes in biomass indices from the NEFSC bottom trawl survey. If the three-year moving average of the survey biomass index for a skate species declines by more than the average CV of the survey time series, then fishing mortality is assumed to be greater than FMSY and it is concluded that overfishing is occurring (NEFMC 2018). None of the skate species identified as non-target species in the commercial scup and black sea bass fisheries (i.e., little, clearnose, barndoor, and winter skates) are overfished or experiencing overfishing (NEFMC 2018).

Northern Sea Robin

Northern sea robins *(Prionotus carolinus)* and striped sea robins (*Prionotus evolans*) have not been assessed; therefore, their stock status and overfishing status is unknown. Sea robins are not managed directly at the federal or state level. Northern sea robins are distributed from Nova Scotia to central Florida, and are most common between Cape Cod, MA and Cape Hatteras, NC. Sea robins typically inhabit coastal waters over open sand or mud from near shore to depths of about 170 meters, and undertake southerly/offshore migrations in the winter (Gilbert and Williams 2002).

Bluefish

Bluefish are jointly managed by the MAFMC and the Commission. The most recent operational assessment results indicated that the bluefish stock was overfished and overfishing was not occurring in 2018 relative to the biological reference points. Fishing mortality on the fully selected age-2 fish was 0.146 in 2018, 80% of the updated fishing mortality threshold reference point FMSY proxy = F35% = 0.183. There is a 90% probability that the fishing mortality rate in 2018 was between 0.119 and 0.205 (NEFSC 2019b).

Atlantic Croaker

Atlantic croaker are managed by the Commission. The latest stock assessment was not endorsed by an independent panel of fisheries scientists for management use; however, the panel agreed with the general results of the assessment. The panel recommended continued use of the annual "traffic light analysis" (TLA) established in 2014 to monitor fishery and resource trends, and implement management measures as needed. This analysis assigns a color

(red, yellow, or green) to categorize relative levels of indicators of the condition of the fish population (abundance metric) or fishery (harvest metric). For example, as harvest increases relative to its long-term mean, the proportion of green in a given year will increase and as harvest decreases, the amount of red in that year will increase. Under the Atlantic croaker FMP, state-specific management action would be initiated when the proportion of red exceeds the specified thresholds (for both harvest and abundance) over three consecutive years. A key issue causing uncertainty in the assessment results was the disagreement between recent trends in harvest and fishery independent indices of abundance. Recent harvest numbers are declining while estimated abundance from fishery independent surveys is increasing in some regions. In 2020 the TLA harvest and overall abundance composite's sustained downward trend triggered a management response from New Jersey to Florida (ASMFC 2017; ASMFC 2020).

Tautog

Tautog are managed by the Commission. The latest assessment (ASMFC 2016) assessed four regions (Massachusetts/Rhode Island, Long Island Sound, New Jersey/New York Bight, and Delaware/Maryland/Virginia) using landings and index data through 2015. All regions were overfished in 2015. Overfishing was not occurring only in the Massachusetts/Rhode Island and Delaware/Maryland/Virginia regions.

Cunner

Ranging along the Atlantic coast and offshore banks of North America, cunner are regular residents from Newfoundland to New Jersey and are occasionally found as far south as the mouth of the Chesapeake Bay. Recreational anglers most often catch cunner around piers, rock jetties and eel grass beds. Cunner are not currently managed and have not been assessed, therefore their stock status and overfishing status is unknown.

1.4 HABITAT CONSIDERATIONS

1.4.1 Description of Physical Habitat

Summer flounder, scup, and black sea bass inhabit the northeast U.S. shelf ecosystem, which extends from the coast to the edge of the continental shelf from the Gulf of Maine through Cape Hatteras, including the slope sea offshore to the Gulf Stream. The northeast shelf ecosystem includes the Gulf of Maine, Georges Bank, the Mid-Atlantic Bight, and the continental slope (Figure 27).

The Gulf of Maine is a semi-enclosed coastal sea, characterized by relatively cold waters and deep basins, with a patchwork of various sediment types. Georges Bank is a relatively shallow coastal plateau that slopes gently from north to south and has steep submarine canyons on its eastern and southeastern edge. It is characterized by highly productive, well-mixed waters and strong currents. The Mid-Atlantic Bight is comprised of the sandy, relatively flat, gently sloping continental shelf from southern New England to Cape Hatteras, North Carolina.

The continental slope begins at the continental shelf break and continues eastward with increasing depth until it becomes the continental rise. It is fairly homogenous, with exceptions

at the shelf break, some canyons, the Hudson Shelf Valley, and in areas of glacially rafted hard bottom.

The continental shelf in this region was shaped largely by sea level fluctuations caused by past ice ages. The shelf's basic morphology and sediments derive from the retreat of the last ice sheet and the subsequent rise in sea level. Currents and waves have since modified this basic structure. Shelf and slope waters of the Mid-Atlantic Bight have a slow southwestward flow that is occasionally interrupted by warm core rings or meanders from the Gulf Stream. On average, shelf water moves parallel to bathymetry isobars at speeds of 5 - 10 cm/s at the surface and 2 cm/s or less at the bottom. Storm events can cause much more energetic variations in flow. Tidal currents on the inner shelf have a higher flow rate of 20 cm/s that increases to 100 cm/s near inlets.

The shelf slopes gently from shore out to between 100 and 200 km offshore where it transforms to the slope (100 - 200 m water depth) at the shelf break. Numerous canyons incise the slope and some cut up onto the shelf itself. The primary morphological features of the shelf include shelf valleys and channels, shoal massifs, scarps, and sand ridges and swales. Most of these structures are relic except for some sand ridges and smaller sand-formed features. Shelf valleys and slope canyons were formed by rivers of glacier outwash that deposited sediments on the outer shelf edge as they entered the ocean. Most valleys cut about 10 m into the shelf; however, the Hudson Shelf Valley is about 35 m deep. The valleys were partially filled as the glacier melted and retreated across the shelf. The glacier also left behind a lengthy scarp near the shelf break from Chesapeake Bay north to the eastern end of Long Island. Shoal retreat massifs were produced by extensive deposition at a cape or estuary mouth. Massifs were also formed as estuaries retreated across the shelf.



Figure 26. Northeast U.S. Shelf Ecosystem.

Some sand ridges are more modern in origin than the shelf's glaciated morphology. Their formation is not well understood; however, they appear to develop from the sediments that erode from the shore face. They maintain their shape, so it is assumed that they are in equilibrium with modern current and storm regimes. They are usually grouped, with heights of about 10 m, lengths of 10 - 50 km and spacing of 2 km. Ridges are usually oriented at a slight angle towards shore, running in length from northeast to southwest. The seaward face usually has the steepest slope. Sand ridges are often covered with smaller similar forms such as sand waves, megaripples, and ripples. Swales occur between sand ridges. Since ridges are higher than the adjacent swales, they are exposed to more energy from water currents and experience more sediment mobility than swales. Ridges tend to contain less fine sand, silt and clay while relatively sheltered swales contain more of the finer particles. Swales have greater benthic macrofaunal density, species richness and biomass, due in part to the increased abundance of detrital food and the less physically rigorous conditions.

Sand waves are usually found in patches of 5 - 10 with heights of about 2 m, lengths of 50 - 100 m and 1 - 2 km between patches. Sand waves are primarily found on the inner shelf, and often observed on sides of sand ridges. They may remain intact over several seasons. Megaripples occur on sand waves or separately on the inner or central shelf. During the winter storm season, they may cover as much as 15% of the inner shelf. They tend to form in large patches and usually have lengths of 3 - 5 m with heights of 0.5 - 1 m. Megaripples tend to survive for less than a season. They can form during a storm and reshape the upper 50 - 100 cm of the sediments within a few hours. Ripples are also found everywhere on the shelf and appear or disappear within hours or days, depending upon storms and currents. Ripples usually have lengths of about 1 - 150 cm and heights of a few centimeters.

Sediments are uniformly distributed over the shelf in this region. A sheet of sand and gravel varying in thickness from 0 - 10 m covers most of the shelf. The mean bottom flow from the constant southwesterly current is not fast enough to move sand, so sediment transport must be episodic. Net sediment movement is in the same southwesterly direction as the current. The sands are mostly medium to coarse grains, with finer sand in the Hudson Shelf Valley and on the outer shelf. Mud is rare over most of the shelf, but is common in the Hudson Shelf Valley. Occasionally relic estuarine mud deposits are re-exposed in the swales between sand ridges. Fine sediment content increases rapidly at the shelf break, which is sometimes called the "mud line," and sediments are 70 - 100% fine on the slope. On the slope, silty sand, silt, and clay predominate (Stevenson et al. 2004).

Greene et al. (2010) identified and described Ecological Marine Units (EMUs) in New England and the Mid-Atlantic based on sediment type, seabed form (a combination of slope and relative depth)[5], and benthic organisms.[6] According to this classification scheme, the sediment

[5] Seabed form contains the categories of depression, mid flat, high flat, low slope, side slope, high slope, and steep slope.

[6] See Greene et al. 2010 for a description of the methodology used to define EMUs.

composition off New England and the Mid-Atlantic is about 68% sand, 26% gravel, and 6% silt/mud. The seafloor is classified as about 52% flat, 26% depression, 19% slope, and 3% steep.

Artificial reefs are another significant Mid-Atlantic habitat. These localized areas of hard structure were formed by shipwrecks, lost cargoes, disposed solid materials, shoreline jetties and groins, submerged pipelines, cables, and other materials (Steimle and Zetlin 2000). While some of these materials were deposited specifically for use as fish habitat, most have an alternative primary purpose; however, they have all become an integral part of the coastal and shelf ecosystem. In general, reefs are important for attachment sites, shelter, and food for many species, and fish predators such as tunas may be attracted by prey aggregations, or may be behaviorally attracted to the reef structure.

Like all the world's oceans, the western North Atlantic is experiencing changes to the physical environment due to global climate change. These changes include warming temperatures; sea level rise; ocean acidification; changes in stream flow, ocean circulation, and sediment deposition; and increased frequency, intensity, and duration of extreme climate events. These changes in physical habitat can impact the metabolic rate and other biological processes of marine species. As such, these changes have implications for the distribution and productivity of many marine species. Several studies demonstrate that the distribution and productivity of several species in the Mid-Atlantic have changed over time, likely because of changes in physical habitat conditions such as temperature (e.g., Weinberg 2005, Lucey and Nye 2010, Nye et al. 2011, Pinsky et al. 2013, Gaichas et al. 2015).

1.4.2 Environmental Requirements of Summer Flounder, Scup, and Black Sea Bass

Summer Flounder

Summer flounder habitat includes pelagic waters, demersal waters, saltmarsh creeks, seagrass beds, mudflats, and open bay areas from the Gulf of Maine through North Carolina. The center of its abundance lies within the Middle Atlantic Bight from Cape Cod, Massachusetts to Cape Hatteras, North Carolina. Summer flounder exhibit strong seasonal inshore-offshore movements, although their movements are often not as extensive as compared to other highly migratory species. Adult and juvenile summer flounder normally inhabit shallow coastal and estuarine waters during the warmer months of the year and remain offshore during the fall and winter.

Juvenile summer flounder have been shown to make use of several substrate types, including sand, shell, oyster bars, and mud, as well as transition areas between sand to silt/clay. Substrate preferences of juvenile summer flounder may be correlated to presence and types of predators and prey. Juveniles make extensive use of marsh creeks and other estuarine habitats. Other studies have shown that juvenile summer flounder also make use of vegetated habitats such as seagrass beds, as well as aggregations of macroalgae (Packer et al. 1999).

Adult summer flounder generally prefer sandy habitats, including areas of quartz sand, coarse sand, and shell, but can be found in a variety of habitats with both mud and sand substrates including marsh creeks, seagrass beds, and sand flats. As with juvenile summer flounder, adults

are also known to utilize vegetation such as seagrass beds, where they are able to ambush prey and avoid predation (Packer et al. 1999).

Scup

Scup habitat includes estuaries, demersal waters, mixed sand and mud substrate, eelgrass beds, mussel flats and other reef structures. Adult and juvenile scup habitat preference is highly dependent on season. During the warmer months, scup exhibit a strong preference for mixed sand and mud sediments (Gottschall et al. 2000), whereas the presence of structure can be important to scup in offshore, deeper habitat during the winter Auster et al. (1991, 1995). Scup spawn once a year along the inner continental shelf beginning in the spring during the inshore migration (Kendall 1973). Most spawning occurs over sandy and weed-covered bottom in southern New England from Massachusetts Bay south to the New York Bight, including eastern Long Island Sound, Peconic and Gardiners Bays, and Raritan Bay (Bigelow and Schroeder 1953; Wheatland 1956; Richards 1959; Finkelstein 1969; Sisson 1974; Morse 1978; Clayton et al. 1978).

During warmer months, juvenile scup live inshore in a variety of coastal habitats and can dominate the overall fish population in larger estuarine areas during that time of year. Juvenile scup may be found over a variety of substrates, but are most abundant over unstructured bottom and in depths ranging from 3 to 5 m (Able and Fahay 2010). Studies have shown that juveniles make use of biogenic depressions in the sediments off southern New England in the fall, and can use biogenic depressions, sand wave troughs, and possibly mollusk shell fields for shelter in winter Gray (1990) and Auster et al. (1991, 1995).

Adult scup prefer habitats that are similar to those used by juveniles and include soft, sandy bottoms, on or near structures such as rocky ledges, wrecks, artificial reefs, and mussel beds in euryhaline areas (Briggs 1975a; Eklund 1988; MAFMC 1996). Adults collected in the fall NEFSC trawl survey (1963-1997) were most commonly caught at about the same depth and water temperatures as juveniles. However, during migration, scup tend to school by size. (Neville and Talbot 1964; Sisson 1974; Morse 1978).

Black Sea Bass

Black sea bass habitat includes pelagic waters, demersal waters, and structured habitats (rocky reefs, cobble/rock fields, stony coral, and sponge patches) and polyhaline regions of many estuaries (Drohan et al. 2005). The Mid-Atlantic black sea bass stock extends from Cape Hatteras to the Gulf of Maine. In the Mid-Atlantic Bight, juvenile and adult black sea bass migrate from nearshore continental shelf habitats to outer shelf over-wintering areas as bottom temperatures decline in the fall. The center of biomass of black sea bass in the spring when fish are offshore has moved northward by about 150-200 km between 1972 and 2008 (Bell et al. 2015).

Juveniles are relatively common in estuaries south of Cape Cod. Within estuaries, young fish use shallow shellfish, sponge, amphipod, seagrass beds, and cobble habitats as well as manmade structures such as wharves, pilings, wrecks, reefs, crab and conch pots (Drohan et al. 2005). Young juveniles are rare on unvegetated sandy intertidal flats and beaches (Allen et al.

1978) as well as deeper, muddy bottoms (Richards 1963). Juvenile black sea bass also demonstrate a high degree of habitat fidelity during the summer and fall months in estuaries (Able and Hales 1997).

Adult black sea bass appear to remain near complex structures during day, and move to adjacent soft-bottom habitats to feed at night (Steimle and Figley 1996). Primary summer habitats on the nearshore shelf are <60 m deep, but adults may also occupy complex habitats in the lower reaches of large estuaries (~5 m depth). Temperature seems to be especially important components of black sea bass habitat during winter months. At temperatures near 6°C adults become inactive and rest in holes and crevices (Adams 1993). They are also known to burrow into soft sediments during especially cold winters off the NC/SC coast (Parker 1990).

1.4.3 Identification and Distribution of Essential Habitat

EFH for summer flounder, scup, and black sea bass was designated through Amendment 12 to the Summer Flounder, Scup, and Black Sea Bass FMP (MAFMC 1998). EFH designations for each life stage for all three species are described below and pictured in Figure 28, Figure 29, and Figure 30.

Summer Flounder

Eggs: 1) North of Cape Hatteras, EFH is the pelagic waters found over the Continental Shelf (from the coast out to the limits of the EEZ), from the Gulf of Maine to Cape Hatteras, North Carolina, in the highest 90% of the all the ranked ten-minute squares for the area where summer flounder eggs are collected in the MARMAP survey. 2) South of Cape Hatteras, EFH is the waters over the Continental Shelf (from the coast out to the limits of the EEZ), from Cape Hatteras, North Carolina to Cape Canaveral, Florida, to depths of 360 ft. In general, summer flounder eggs are found between October and May, being most abundant between Cape Cod and Cape Hatteras, with the heaviest concentrations within 9 miles of shore off New Jersey and New York. Eggs are most commonly collected at depths of 30 to 360 ft.

Larvae: 1) North of Cape Hatteras, EFH is the pelagic waters found over the Continental Shelf (from the coast out to the limits of the EEZ), from the Gulf of Maine to Cape Hatteras, North Carolina, in the highest 90% of all the ranked ten-minute squares for the area where summer flounder larvae are collected in the MARMAP survey. 2) South of Cape Hatteras, EFH is the nearshore waters of the Continental Shelf (from the coast out to the limits of the EEZ), from Cape Hatteras, North Carolina to Cape Canaveral Florida, in nearshore waters (out to 50 miles from shore). 3) Inshore, EFH is all the estuaries where summer flounder were identified as being present (rare, common, abundant, or highly abundant) in the ELMR database, in the "mixing" (defined in ELMR as 0.5 to 25.0 ppt) and "seawater" (defined in ELMR as greater than 25 ppt) salinity zones. In general, summer flounder larvae are most abundant nearshore (12-50 miles from shore) at depths between 30 and 230 ft. They are most frequently found in the northern part of the Mid-Atlantic Bight from September to February, and in the southern part from November to May.

Juveniles: 1) North of Cape Hatteras, EFH is the demersal waters over the Continental Shelf (from the coast out to the limits of the EEZ), from the Gulf of Maine to Cape Hatteras, North

Carolina, in the highest 90% of all the ranked ten-minute squares for the area where juvenile summer flounder are collected in the NEFSC trawl survey. 2) South of Cape Hatteras, EFH is the waters over the Continental Shelf (from the coast out to the limits of the EEZ) to depths of 500 ft, from Cape Hatteras, North Carolina to Cape Canaveral, Florida. 3) Inshore, EFH is all of the estuaries where summer flounder were identified as being present (rare, common, abundant, or highly abundant) in the ELMR database for the "mixing" and "seawater" salinity zones. In general, juveniles use several estuarine habitats as nursery areas, including salt marsh creeks, seagrass beds, mudflats, and open bay areas in water temperatures greater than 37 ^{O}F and salinities from 10 to 30 ppt range.

Adults: 1) North of Cape Hatteras, EFH is the demersal waters over the Continental Shelf (from the coast out to the limits of the EEZ), from the Gulf of Maine to Cape Hatteras, North Carolina, in the highest 90% of all the ranked ten-minute squares for the area where adult summer flounder are collected in the NEFSC trawl survey. 2) South of Cape Hatteras, EFH is the waters over the Continental Shelf (from the coast out to the limits of the EEZ) to depths of 500 ft, from Cape Hatteras, North Carolina to Cape Canaveral, Florida. 3) Inshore, EFH is the estuaries where summer flounder were identified as being common, abundant, or highly abundant in the ELMR database for the "mixing" and "seawater" salinity zones. Generally summer flounder inhabit shallow coastal and estuarine waters during warmer months and move offshore on the outer Continental Shelf at depths of 500 ft in colder months.

<u>Scup</u>

Eggs: EFH is estuaries where scup eggs were identified as common, abundant, or highly abundant in the ELMR database for the "mixing" and "seawater" salinity zones. In general scup eggs are found from May through August in southern New England to coastal Virginia, in waters between 55 and 73 °F and in salinities greater than 15 ppt.

Larvae: EFH is estuaries where scup were identified as common, abundant, or highly abundant in the ELMR database for the "mixing" and "seawater" salinity zones. In general scup larvae are most abundant nearshore from May through September, in waters between 55 and 73 °F and in salinities greater than 15 ppt.

Juveniles: 1) Offshore, EFH is the demersal waters over the Continental Shelf (from the coast out to the limits of the EEZ), from the Gulf of Maine to Cape Hatteras, North Carolina, in the highest 90% of all the ranked ten-minute squares of the area where juvenile scup are collected in the NEFSC trawl survey. 2) Inshore, EFH is the estuaries where scup are identified as being common, abundant, or highly abundant in the ELMR database for the "mixing" and "seawater" salinity zones. Juvenile scup, in general during the summer and spring are found in estuaries and bays between Virginia and Massachusetts, in association with various sands, mud, mussel and eelgrass bed type substrates and in water temperatures greater than 45 °F and salinities greater than 15 ppt.

Adults: 1) Offshore, EFH is the demersal waters over the Continental Shelf (from the coast out to the limits of the EEZ), from the Gulf of Maine to Cape Hatteras, North Carolina, in the

highest 90% of all the ranked ten-minute squares of the area where adult scup are collected in the NEFSC trawl survey. 2) Inshore, EFH is the estuaries where scup were identified as being common, abundant, or highly abundant in the ELMR database for the "mixing" and "seawater" salinity zones. Generally, wintering adults (November through April) are usually offshore, south of New York to North Carolina, in waters above 45 °F.

Black Sea Bass

Eggs: EFH is the estuaries where black sea bass eggs were identified in the ELMR database as common, abundant, or highly abundant for the "mixing" and "seawater" salinity zones. Generally, black sea bass eggs are found from May through October on the Continental Shelf, from southern New England to North Carolina.

Larvae: 1) North of Cape Hatteras, EFH is the pelagic waters found over the Continental Shelf (from the coast out to the limits of the EEZ), from the Gulf of Maine to Cape Hatteras, North Carolina, in the highest 90% of all ranked ten-minute squares of the area where black sea bass larvae are collected in the MARMAP survey. 2) EFH also is estuaries where black sea bass were identified as common, abundant, or highly abundant in the ELMR database for the "mixing" and "seawater" salinity zones. Generally, the habitats for the transforming (to juveniles) larvae are near the coastal areas and into marine parts of estuaries between Virginia and New York. When larvae become demersal, they are generally found on structured inshore habitat such as sponge beds.

Juveniles: 1) Offshore, EFH is the demersal waters over the Continental Shelf (from the coast out to the limits of the EEZ), from the Gulf of Maine to Cape Hatteras, North Carolina, in the highest 90% of all the ranked squares of the area where juvenile black sea bass are collected in the NEFSC trawl survey. 2) Inshore, EFH is the estuaries where black sea bass are identified as being common, abundant, or highly abundant in the ELMR database for the "mixing" and "seawater" salinity zones. Juveniles are found in the estuaries in the summer and spring. Generally, juvenile black sea bass are found in waters warmer than 43 °F with salinities greater than 18 pp and coastal areas between Virginia and Massachusetts, but winter offshore from New Jersey and south. Juvenile black sea bass are usually found in association with rough bottom, shellfish and eelgrass beds, man-made structures in sandy-shelly areas; offshore clam beds and shell patches may also be used during the wintering.

Adults: 1) Offshore, EFH is the demersal waters over the Continental Shelf (from the coast out to the limits of the EEZ), from the Gulf of Maine to Cape Hatteras, North Carolina, in the highest 90% of all the ranked ten-minute squares of the area where adult black sea bass are collected in the NEFSC trawl survey. 2) Inshore, EFH is the estuaries where adult black sea bass were identified as being common, abundant, or highly abundant in the ELMR database for the "mixing" and “seawater" salinity zones. Black sea bass are generally found in estuaries from May through October. Wintering adults (November through April) are generally offshore, south of New York to North Carolina. Temperatures above 43 °F seem to be the minimum requirements. Structured habitats (natural and man-made), sand, and shell are usually the substrate preference.



Figure 27. Designated EFH for summer flounder at various life stages. Image source: NOAA Office of Habitat Conservation EFH Mapper.



Figure 28. Designated EFH for scup at various life stages. Image source: NOAA Office of Habitat Conservation EFH Mapper.



Figure 29. Designated EFH for black sea bass at various life stages. Image source: NOAA Office of Habitat Conservation EFH Mapper.

1.4.4 Anthropogenic Impacts on Summer Flounder, Scup, and Black Sea Bass and Their Habitat

Only those gear types which contact the bottom impact physical habitat. The actions proposed in this document are relevant to both the commercial and recreational summer flounder, scup, and black sea bass fisheries. The recreational fisheries for all three species are almost exclusively hook and line fisheries. Recreational hook and line gears generally have minimal impacts on physical habitat and EFH in this region (Stevenson et al. 2004). Weighted hook and line gear can contact the bottom, but the magnitude and footprint of any impacts resulting from this contact is likely minimal. Thus, the recreational fisheries are expected to have very minor or no impacts on habitat.

The commercial fisheries for all three species are primarily prosecuted with bottom trawl gear. Within the dealer data, from 2014-2019, otter trawls accounted for about 90% of all summer flounder commercial landings, 82% of scup landings and 57% of black sea bass commercial landings. Black sea bass had a higher proportion of landings from pot and trap gear, estimated at 23% from 2015-2019, and 11% from handlines (Table 20).

Table 20. Percent of reported commercial summer flounder, scup, and black sea bass landings taken by gear category from 2015-2019 based on dealer data.

Dealer Data (2015-2019)	Summer flounder	Scup	Black Sea Bass
BOTTOM TRAWL	90.3%	82.4%	57.0%
OTHER OR UNKNOWN	5.2%	11.1%	8.3%
POT AND TRAP	0.2%	3.3%	23.0%
HANDLINE	2.9%	2.3%	11.0%
GILLNET	1.1%	1.0%	0.8%
SCALLOP DREDGE	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%

Stevenson et al. (2004) compiled a detailed summary of several studies on the impacts of a variety of gear types on marine habitats. Conclusions relevant for this action are briefly summarized below with a focus on bottom trawl gear since this is the predominant gear type used in commercial harvest of all three species.

Otter trawl doors can create furrows in sand, mud, and gravel/rocky substrates. Studies have found furrow depths that range from 2 to 10 cm. Bottom trawl gear can also re-suspend and disperse surface sediments and can smooth topographic features. It can also result in reduced abundance, and in some cases reduced diversity, of benthic species such as nematodes, polychaetes, and bivalves. It can also have short-term positive ecological impacts such as increased food value and increased chlorophyll production in surface sediments. The duration of these impacts varies by sediment type, depth, and frequency of the impact (e.g., a single trawl tow vs. repeated tows). Some studies documented effects that lasted only a few months, while other studies found effects that lasted up to 18 months. Impacts tend to have shorter durations in dynamic environments with less structured bottom composition compared to less dynamic environments with structured bottom. Shallower water, stronger bottom currents, more wave action, finer-grained sediments, and higher frequencies of natural disturbance are characteristics that make environments more dynamic (Stevenson et al. 2004).

Compared to otter trawls and dredges, Stevenson et al. (2004) summarized fewer studies on other bottom tending gears such as traps. Morgan and Chuenpagdee (2003) found that the impacts of bottom gill nets, traps, and longlines were generally limited to warm or shallow-water environments with rooted aquatic vegetation or “live bottom” environments (e.g., coral reefs). These impacts were of a lesser degree than those from bottom trawls and dredges. Eno et al. (2001) found that traps can bend, smother, and uproot sea pens in soft sediments; however, sea pen communities were largely able to recover within a few days of the impact.

1.4.5 Description of Programs to Protect, Restore, & Preserve Summer Flounder, Scup, and Black Sea Bass

The Mid-Atlantic Council developed some fishery management actions with the sole intent of protecting marine habitats. For example, in Amendment 9 to the Mackerel, Squid, and Butterfish FMP, the Council determined that bottom trawls used in Atlantic mackerel, longfin and *Illex* squid, and butterfish fisheries have the potential to adversely affect EFH for some federally-managed fisheries (MAFMC 2008). As a result of Amendment 9, closures to squid trawling were developed for portions of Lydonia and Oceanographer Canyons. Subsequent closures were implemented in these and Veatch and Norfolk Canyons to protect tilefish EFH by prohibiting all bottom trawling activity. In addition, Amendment 16 to the Mackerel, Squid, and Butterfish FMP prohibits the use of all bottom-tending gear in fifteen discrete zones and one broad zone where deep sea corals are known or highly likely to occur (81 Federal Register 90246, December 14, 2016).

Actions implemented in the Summer Flounder, Scup, and Black Sea Bass FMP that affected species with overlapping EFH were considered Amendment 13 (MAFMC 2002). The analysis in Amendment 13 indicated that no management measures were needed to minimize impacts to EFH because the trawl fisheries for summer flounder, scup, and black sea bass in federal waters are conducted primarily in high energy mobile sand and bottom habitat where gear impacts are minimal and/or temporary in nature.

1.5 IMPACTS TO THE FISHERY MANAGEMENT PROGRAM

The following sections provide a brief summary of biological and socioeconomic impacts that may result from allocation changes between the commercial and recreational fisheries for summer flounder, scup, and black sea bass. A more detailed discussion of impacts can be found in section 4.2.

1.5.1 Biological Impacts

Changes to the recreational and commercial sector allocations affect the size of each sector's landings limits. Depending on the scale of the change, a decrease in the commercial quota or additional restrictions on the recreational fishery could lead to increased regulatory discards of these species compared to recent levels. However, accountability measures are still in place and designed to prevent harvest and dead discards from exceeding the overfishing threshold. In addition, a preliminary analysis taking into account the different levels of variation of the estimates of landings and dead discards in each sector indicates that proposed changes in the recreational and commercial sectors may not have notably different impacts on the risk of exceeding the Acceptable Biological Catch (ABC) for all three species. None of the alternatives are expected to change patterns in landings, discards, or fishing effort in such a way that they negatively impact stock status for any of the three species.

1.5.2 Socioeconomic Impacts

Changes in the RHL may lead to a liberalization or restriction of recreational measures, which can impact angler access to all three species. Increased access could take the form of more fish to take home (under higher possession limits or lower minimum fish sizes) and more

opportunities to target these species (under longer open seasons), while decreased access could mean the ability to retain fewer fish and reduced opportunities to target these species. This can affect angler satisfaction, revenues for for-hire businesses (e.g., by impacting demand for for-hire trips), and revenues for support businesses such as bait and tackle shops.

The revised sector allocation alternatives represent reductions to the commercial allocations for all three species. As such, the commercial sector may experience a loss in revenue due to corresponding decreased quotas and a reduction in potential landings of summer flounder and black sea bass. For scup, this will depend on the degree of the decrease in the quota as the commercial scup quota has not been fully harvested since 2007 due to other factors such as market demand. For all three species, the loss in revenue associated with the reduction in quota is not expected to be linear, as the relationship between price and volume landed in the fishery is not linear and is variable by species. Other factors such as variation in costs can also affect revenue. Some negative impacts associated with quota reductions might be partially offset by the potential for increased prices paid by dealers if decreased quotas result in decreased supply. However, the degree to which this happens depends on the relationship between demand and price.

2.0 GOALS AND OBJECTIVES

2.1 HISTORY OF MANAGEMENT

The original ASMFC FMP (1982) included only summer flounder and recommended a 14" minimum size limit (for both recreational and commercial possession). The 1988 joint MAFMC-ASMFC Plan established a 13" minimum size limit, permit requirements, and a plan to begin annually reviewing fishing mortality estimates and the performance of management measures after the third year of FMP implementation. Since then, twenty-one amendments have been developed and approved. Most but not all amendments have been implemented jointly by the Commission and Council.

Amendment 1 (1990) added an overfishing definition to the FMP and proposed a minimum net mesh size to protect the 1989 and 1990 year classes. NOAA Fisheries approved the overfishing definition, but disapproved the minimum net mesh provision because the mesh size along with the existing minimum fish size would not allow the overfished resource to rebuild.

Amendment 2 (1993) was a comprehensive amendment designed to rebuild a severely depleted summer flounder stock. The amendment contained a number of management measures to regulate the commercial and recreational fisheries for summer flounder including a rebuilding schedule, commercial quotas, RHLs, size limits, gear restrictions including minimum mesh sizes, and permit and reporting requirements. Amendment 2 established a mesh size exemption for the flynet fishery, as well as the small mesh exemption area, an offshore area where fishermen participating in the winter trawl fishery may obtain an authorized exemption from the minimum mesh size regulations. Amendment 2 also established the Summer Flounder

Monitoring Committee, which meets annually to review the best available biological and fisheries data and make recommendations regarding the commercial quota and other management measures.

Amendment 3 (1993) modified the demarcation line for the small mesh exempted fishery area, and increased the large mesh net possession threshold (established in Amendment 2) to 200 lbs during the winter fishery (November 1-April 30). Amendment 3 also stipulated that otter trawl vessels fishing from 1 May through 31 October could only retain up to 100 lbs of summer flounder before using the large mesh net.

Amendment 4 (1993) adjusted Connecticut's commercial landings of summer flounder and revised the state-specific shares of the coastwide commercial summer flounder quota as requested by the Commission. **Amendment 5 (1993)** allowed states to transfer or combine portions of their commercial quota. **Amendment 6 (1994)** allowed multiple nets on board if they were properly stowed and changed the deadline for publishing the overall catch limits and commercial management measures to 15 October and the recreational management measures to 15 February. **Amendment 7 (1995)** revised the fishing mortality rate reduction schedule for summer flounder.

The Scup FMP and the Black Sea Bass FMP were incorporated into the summer flounder regulations as **Amendments 8 and 9 (1996)** to the Council's Summer Flounder FMP, respectively. There are no Amendments 8 or 9 in the Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission's (ASMFC or Commission) FMP. The Board opted to manage Scup and Black Sea Bass under separate FMPs. The Council's Amendments 8 and 9 were major amendments that implemented a number of management measures for scup and black sea bass including commercial quotas, commercial gear requirements, minimum size limits, RHLs, and permit and reporting requirements. The FMP included a seven-year plan for reducing fishing effort and restoring the scup stock due to excessive discarding of scup and near collapse of the stock. Management measures implemented in the first year of the plan (1996) included: dealer and vessel permitting and reporting, 9-inch commercial minimum size, 4-inch mesh restriction for vessels retaining over 4,000 pounds of scup, and a 7-inch recreational minimum size along with flexibility in addressing unforeseen conditions in the fishery. The initial black sea bass FMP (1996) aimed to reduce fishing mortality using a coastwide commercial quota allocated into quarterly periods beginning in 1998, and a RHL constrained through the use of minimum size, possession limit, and seasonal closures.

Addendum 1 (1996) established the scup quota management procedure for management and distribution of the annual coastwide commercial quota. Addendum 1 also detailed the state-by-state quota system for the scup summer period (May through October) that was implemented in 1997. Each state receives a share of the summer quota based on historical commercial landings from 1983-1992.

Amendment 10 (1997) made several changes to the summer flounder regulations. Specifically, this Amendment modified the commercial minimum mesh regulations, continued the

moratorium on entry of additional commercial vessels, removed provisions pertaining to the expiration of the moratorium permit, prohibited the transfer of summer flounder at sea, and established a special permit for party/charter vessels to allow the possession of summer flounder parts smaller than the minimum size.

Amendment 11 (1999) was implemented to achieve consistency among Mid-Atlantic and New England FMPs regarding vessel replacement and upgrade provisions, permit history transfer, splitting, and renewal regulations for fishing vessels issued Northeast Limited Access federal fishery permits.

Amendment 12 (1999) combined the three species' FMPs from the Commission's perspective and was approved by the Commission and MAFMC in October 1998. Amendment 12 brought the FMP into compliance with the new and revised National Standards and other required provisions of the Sustainable Fisheries Act (SFA). Specifically, the amendment revised the overfishing definitions (National Standard 1) for summer flounder, scup, and black sea bass and addressed the new and revised National Standards (National Standard 8 - consider effects on fishing communities; National Standard 9 - reduce bycatch; and National Standard 10 - promote safety at sea) relative to the existing management measures. The amendment also identified essential habitat for summer flounder, scup, and black sea bass. In addition, Amendment 12 added a framework adjustment procedure that allows the Council to add or modify management measures through a streamlined public review process. For scup, the amendment set overfished and overfishing thresholds.

To address the issues of black sea bass fishery closures, large discards, and financial hardships, the Board enacted a series of Emergency Rules in 2001 that established initial possession limits, triggers, and adjusted possession limits. These measures helped reduce the length of fishery closures, but the rapidly changing regulations confused fishermen and added significant administrative burden to the states. To simplify the process for all parties, the Board approved Addendum VI to provide a mechanism for initial possession limits, triggers, and adjusted possession limits to be set during the annual specification setting process without the need for further Emergency Rules.

Addendum IV (2001) provides that upon the recommendation of the relevant monitoring committee and joint consideration with the Council, the Board will decide state regulations rather than forward a recommendation to NOAA Fisheries. Addendum IV also made the states responsible for implementing the Board's decisions on regulations.

Addendum V (2002) was developed to avoid the necessity of developing annual Emergency Rules for scup summer period quota management. Addendum V established state shares of the summer period quota based on historical commercial landings from 1983-1992, including additional landings from Massachusetts added to the NOAA Fisheries database in 2000. State shares implemented by this addendum will remain in place until the Board takes direct action to change them.

Addendum VII (2002) established a state specific management program for Massachusetts through New York for the 2002 recreational scup fishery based on the average landings (in number of fish) for 1998-2001. Due to the extremely limited data available, the Board developed specific management measures for the states of New Jersey, Delaware, Maryland, Virginia, and North Carolina. The addendum had no application after 2002. The same addendum language was used verbatim to set management measures for the states of Massachusetts through New York for 2003 through Addendum IX.

Amendment 13 (2002) was approved by the Commission and MAFMC and implemented a federal, annual coastwide commercial quota for black sea bass that is managed in state waters by the Commission using a state-by-state allocation system. Amendment 13 also removed the necessity for fishermen who have both a Northeast Region (NER, now referred to as the Greater Atlantic Region) Black Sea Bass permit and a Southeast Region (SER) Snapper Grouper (S/G) permit to relinquish their permits for a six-month period prior to fishing south of Cape Hatteras during a northern closure.

Addendum XIII and the MAFMC's complementary Framework 5 (2004) modified the FMP so that Total Allowable Landings (TALs) for the summer flounder, scup, and/or black sea bass can be specified for up to three years.

Amendment 14 (2007) established a rebuilding schedule for scup and made the Scup Gear Restricted Areas (GRAs) modifiable through the framework adjustment process. **Amendment 16 (2007)** implemented Standardized Bycatch Reporting Methodology (SBRM).

Addendum XIX (2007) continued the state-by-state black sea bass commercial management measures, without a sunset clause. This addendum, and the MAFMC's complementary Framework 7, also broadened the descriptions of stock status determination criteria contained within the Summer Flounder, Scup, and Black Sea Bass FMP to allow for greater flexibility in those definitions, while maintaining objective and measurable status determination criteria for identifying when stocks or stock complexes covered by the FMP are overfished. It established acceptable categories of peer-review for stock status determination criteria. When these specific peer-review metrics are met and new or updated information is available, the new or revised stock status determination criteria may be incorporated by the Commission directly into the annual management measures for each species, rather than requiring a modification to the FMP.

Addendum XX (2009) set policies to reconcile quota overages to address minor inadvertent quota overages in the black sea bass and scup summer period fisheries. It streamlines the quota transfers process and establishes clear policies and administrative protocols to guide the allocation of transfers from states with underages to states with overages. It also allows for quota transfers to reconcile quota overages after the year's end.

Amendment 15 (2011) Established Annual Catch Limits and Accountability Measures, as required by the 2007 reauthorization of the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (MSA).

Beginning in 2011 due to concerns about equitable access to the resource, a series of addenda replaced the use of uniform coastwide measures to manage the black sea bass recreational fishery. **Addendum XXI (2011)** established state shares of the RHL for 2011. **Addenda XXII, XXIII, XXV, and XXVII** implemented an ad hoc regional management approach for 2012-2017, whereby the northern region states of Massachusetts through New Jersey individually crafted state measures aimed at liberalizing or reducing harvest by the same percent to achieve the RHL, while the southern region states of Delaware through North Carolina largely set regulations consistent with the measures set for federal waters.

Amendment 19 (2013) modified the AMs for the Council's recreational fisheries. **Amendment 17 (2015)** implemented a revised version of the Standardized Bycatch Reporting Methodology (SBRM). **Amendment 18 (2015)** eliminated the requirement for vessel owners to submit "did not fish" reports for the months or weeks when their vessel was not fishing, and removed some of the restrictions for upgrading vessels listed on Federal fishing permits. **Amendment 20 (2017)** implemented management measures to prevent the development of new, and the expansion of existing, commercial fisheries on certain forage species in the Mid-Atlantic.

Addendum XXIX (2017) shortened the length of the commercial scup summer period and extended the length of the winter II period. The addendum was developed to allow for the better utilization of the commercial quota, which was under-harvested from 2011-2016. Specifically, the change in quota period length allows for higher possession limits for a longer period of time each year, thus increasing the likelihood the commercial fishery will fully harvest the quota. The quota allocation for each period remains unchanged. While Addendum XXIX is a Commission specific document, the Council also took the same action through **Framework 10.** The new quota periods are the following and were implemented for the 2018 fishing season: Winter 1, January 1-April 30 (120 days); Summer, May 1-September 30 (153 days); Winter II, October 1-December 31 (92 days).

Addendum XXX (2018) established a regional allocation of the coastwide RHL to address state concerns regarding equity and accountability in recreational black sea bass management. Based on a combination of exploitable biomass information from the latest stock assessment and historical harvest, the RHL was allocated to three regions: 1) Massachusetts through New York, 2) New Jersey as a state-specific region, and 3) Delaware through North Carolina. The 2018 state recreational measures were then revised in May 2018 following an appeal of the Addendum to the ISFMP Policy Board by Massachusetts, Rhode Island, Connecticut and New York.

Addendum XXXI (2018) and Council Framework 14 (2018) modified the FMP to allow for the option of federal conservation equivalency for the recreational black sea bass fishery beginning in 2020, and implemented transit provisions for Block Island Sound for recreational and

commercial fisheries for summer flounder, scup, and black sea bass in the same area as the existing striped bass transit zone. The Council's framework action also modified the Council's FMP to allow a maximum size limit to be used in the recreational fisheries for summer flounder and black sea bass.

Addendum XXXII (2018) established a new process for developing recreational management measures for black sea bass and summer flounder whereby measures are set annually through a specifications process, rather than addenda. The Board approves measures in early spring each year, based on Technical Committee analysis of stock status, resource availability, and harvest estimates. To further aid in setting specifications, the Addendum established standards and guiding principles intended to structure the development of recreational measures on a regional basis. Public input on specifications is gathered by states through their individual public comment processes.

Amendment 21 (2020) revised the management program's goals and objectives specific to summer flounder and implemented new summer flounder state-specific commercial allocations. The new state commercial allocations are based upon a 9.55 million pound trigger point. When the annual coastwide commercial quota is at or below 9.55 million pounds, the formula for allocating the quota to the states remains status quo, i.e., the same state-specific percentages that have been in effect since 1993. When the annual coastwide quota exceeds 9.55 million pounds, additional quota above 9.55 million pounds is distributed as follows: 0.333% to the states of Maine, New Hampshire and Delaware and 12.375% to the remaining states. As a result, state allocations will vary over time based on overall stock status and the resulting coastwide commercial quotas.

Addendum XXXIII (2021) modified the state allocations of the commercial black sea bass quota, added the state allocations to the MAFMC's Fishery Management Plan (FMP), and modified the regulations for federal in-season closures. These actions address significant changes in the distribution of black sea bass that have occurred since the original allocations were implemented under Amendment 13 in 2003 and also account for the historical dependence of the states on the black sea bass fishery.

2.2 JOINT MANAGEMENT

The Council and Commission work cooperatively to develop fishery regulations for summer flounder, scup, and black sea bass off the East Coast of the United States. The Council and Commission work in conjunction with NOAA Fisheries, which serves as the federal implementation and enforcement entity. This cooperative management endeavor was developed because a significant portion of the catch is taken from both state (0-3 miles offshore) and federal waters (3-200 miles offshore, also known as the Exclusive Economic Zone).

The Commission has primary authority for development of FMPs for state waters under the authority of the Atlantic Coastal Fisheries Cooperative Management Act (ACFCMA) of 1993.

Recognizing the interjurisdictional nature of fishery resources and the necessity of the states and federal government coordination on regulations, under this act, all Atlantic coast states that are included in a Commission FMP must implement required conservation provisions of the plan or the Secretary of Commerce may impose a moratorium for fishing in the noncompliant state's waters.

The Council, under the MSA, has primary authority for developing federal FMPs for Council managed species. The Commission and the Council meet jointly at least twice a year to approve management measures for the fishery for the upcoming year or years. State fishery departments implement FMP measures under the ACFCMA, while NOAA Fisheries issues rules to implement approved FMPs prepared by the Councils.

State regulations apply to vessels fishing in state waters; however, vessels with federal permits must abide by the federal regulations regardless of where they are fishing. If state and federal measures differ, the vessel must abide by whichever measure is more restrictive. Approved regulations are enforced through cooperative actions of the U.S. Coast Guard, NOAA Fisheries Law Enforcement, and state authorities.

The Secretary of Commerce has the ultimate responsibility for measures. The Council's proposed FMPs and amendments are submitted to the Secretary of Commerce for approval, which in most cases is delegated to NOAA Fisheries. NOAA Fisheries typically prepares specifications and implementing federal regulations for the fisheries based on the recommendations of the Council and Commission, if such recommendations are deemed to be consistent with the MSA and other applicable law. NOAA Fisheries publishes proposed rules in the *Federal Register* for public comment. As mentioned above, the Secretary of Commerce also has ultimate responsibility for determining whether individual state measures are consistent with the Commission's FMP. If the Commission finds a state out of compliance and is unable to rectify this issue, the Commission may notify the Secretary. Within 30 days of receiving the Commission's notice, the Secretary must decide whether the state is out of compliance, and if so, whether the noncompliance compromises the conservation of the resource. If it does, the Secretary can impose a moratorium on all fishing (commercial and recreational) for the species in question, until the Commission and the Secretary determine that the noncompliance has ceased.

2.3 MANAGEMENT UNIT

Summer flounder, scup, and black sea bass fisheries are managed cooperatively by the Commission in state waters (0-3 miles), and by the Council and NOAA Fisheries in Federal waters (3-200 miles). The management unit for summer flounder in U.S. waters is the western Atlantic Ocean from the southern border of North Carolina northward to the U.S.-Canadian border. The management unit for scup and black sea bass in U.S. waters is the western Atlantic Ocean from Cape Hatteras, North Carolina north to the Canadian border.

2.4 PURPOSE AND NEED FOR ACTION

The Board and Council initiated this Amendment to consider modifications to the allocations between the commercial and recreational sectors for summer flounder, scup, and black sea bass. The commercial and recreational allocations for all three species were previously based on historical proportions of landings (for summer flounder and black sea bass) or catch (for scup) from each sector. Recent changes in how recreational catch is estimated has resulted in a discrepancy between the current level of estimated recreational harvest and the recreational allocation for summer flounder, scup, and black sea bass. Some changes have also been made to commercial catch data since the allocations were established. This Amendment was developed to better understand whether the sector allocations were still appropriate and meeting the objectives of the FMP.

The Board and Council also initiated this Amendment to consider whether future additional modifications to the commercial/recreational allocation and/or transfer provisions could be considered through a future FMP addendum or framework action, as opposed to an amendment.

2.5 GOALS AND OBJECTIVES

2.5.1 Summer Flounder Goals and Objectives

The summer flounder FMP objectives were revised via Amendment 21 to the FMP (approved March 2019). The revised goals and objectives for summer flounder are as follows:

Goal 1: Ensure the biological sustainability of the summer flounder resource in order to maintain a sustainable summer flounder fishery.

- **Objective 1.1:** Prevent overfishing and achieve and maintain sustainable spawning stock biomass levels that promote optimum yield in the fishery.

Goal 2: Support and enhance the development and implementation of effective management measures.

- **Objective 2.1:** Maintain and enhance effective partnership and coordination among the Council, Commission, Federal partners, and member states.
- **Objective 2.2:** Promote understanding, compliance, and the effective enforcement of regulations.
- **Objective 2.3:** Promote monitoring, data collection, and the development of ecosystem-based science that support and enhance effective management of the summer flounder resource.

Goal 3: Optimize economic and social benefits from the utilization of the summer flounder resource, balancing the needs and priorities of different user groups to achieve the greatest overall benefit to the nation.

- **Objective 3.1:** Provide reasonable access to the fishery throughout the management unit. Fishery allocations and other management measures should balance responsiveness to changing social, economic, and ecological conditions with historic and current importance to various user groups and communities.

2.5.2 Scup and Black Sea Bass Goals and Objectives

The FMP goals for scup and black sea bass were adopted via the amendments that added these species to this joint FMP (Amendment 8 for scup and Amendment 9 for black sea bass). The current FMP goals for scup and black sea bass are:

Goal 1: Reduce fishing mortality in the scup and black sea bass fisheries to assure that overfishing does not occur.
Goal 2: Reduce fishing mortality on immature scup and black sea bass to increase spawning stock biomass.
Goal 3: Improve the yield from these fisheries.
Goal 4: Promote compatible management regulations between state and federal jurisdictions.
Goal 5: Promote uniform and effective enforcement of regulations.
Goal 6: Minimize regulations to achieve the management objectives stated above.

3.0 MONITORING PROGRAM SPECIFICATION

In order to achieve the goals and objectives of this Amendment, the collection and maintenance of quality data is necessary.

3.1 SUMMARY OF MONITORING PROGRAMS

The FMPs for summer flounder, scup, and black sea bass include no requirements regarding fishery-dependent monitoring. All state fishery management agencies were encouraged to pursue full implementation of the standards of the Atlantic Coastal Cooperative Statistics Program (ACCSP).

3.1.1 Commercial Catch and Landings Program

The reporting requirements for the summer flounder, scup, and black sea bass commercial fisheries are specified by two general permit types: 1) state issued commercial permits and 2) federal moratorium permit. State commercial permits are issued to individuals, with qualification and reporting requirements varying by state. Weekly landings information including species landed by gear and state are submitted by the Atlantic coastal states through the Standard Atlantic Fisheries Information System (SAFIS). Landings information assembled in the SAFIS database include both state and federal landings data. ACCSP's standard for commercial catch and effort statistics requires mandatory, trip-level reporting of all commercial harvested marine species, with fishermen and/or dealers required to report standardized data elements for each trip by the 10th of each month. For federal moratorium permit holders, commercial landings information for all three species is collected from VTRs monthly and are submitted 15 days after the end of the reporting month. Discards are estimated from the NEFSC observer program, and, if needed, from the VTR data. The NEFSC weighout program provides commercial age and length information.

3.1.2 Recreational Fishery Catch Reporting Process

MRIP provides estimated summer flounder, scup, and black sea bass catches from 1981-2019. Recreational catch of these species was previously collected through the MRFSS, which was a

recreational data collection program used from 1981-2003. The MRFSS program was replaced by MRIP in 2004 and was designed to provide more accurate and timely reporting as well as greater spatial coverage. The MRFSS and the MRIP were simultaneously conducted in 2004-2006 and this information was used to calibrate past MRFSS recreational harvest estimates against MRIP recreational harvest estimates.

In 2018, MRIP implemented the Fishing Effort Survey (FES) which used an improved methodology to address several concerns with the prior Coastal Household Telephone Survey. These concerns included under-coverage of the angling public, declining number of households with landline telephones, reduced response rates, and memory recall issues. Past estimates have been recalibrated to the FES. This calibration resulted in a much higher recreational catch estimates compared to previous estimates.
Recreational catches of summer flounder, scup, and black sea bass were downloaded from http://www.st.NOAA Fisheries.noaa.gov/st1/recreational/queries/index.html using the query option.

An online description of MRIP survey methods can be found here: http://www.st.NOAA Fisheries.noaa.gov/recreational-fisheries/index#meth

3.2 SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC COLLECTION PROGRAMS

Data on a number of variables relevant to social and economic dimensions of summer flounder, scup, and black sea bass fisheries are collected through existing ACCSP data collection programs and MRIP; however, no explicit mandates to collect socioeconomic data for these species currently exist. In addition to landed quantities, commercial harvesters and dealers may report ex-vessel prices or value, fishing and landing locations, landing disposition, and a variety of measures capturing fishing effort. MRIP regularly collects information on recreational fishing effort and landings, and occasionally gathers socioeconomic data on angler motivations and expenditures.

3.3 BIOLOGICAL DATA COLLECTION PROGRAMS

3.3.1 Fishery-Dependent Data Collection

Several states along with NOAA Fisheries collect biological information from commercial and recreational fisheries for summer flounder, scup, and black sea bass. The Commonwealth of Massachusetts collects trip-level data on commercial landings from both harvesters and primary buyers, and monitors their commercial quota weekly through their Fisheries Statistics Program. New York conducts a survey of recreational anglers on for-hire boats throughout the marine district that target all three species to collect length data of kept and discarded fish. Maryland compiles data on population, age, sex, and size from any fish caught in pound nets, primarily summer flounder. A statewide voluntary angler survey is conducted that records location, time spent fishing, number of fish caught, number kept, and lengths of the first 20 fish caught. The Virginia Game Fish Tagging Program has targeted and tagged summer flounder and black sea bass since 1997. North Carolina collects information on catch-per-unit-of-effort for the winter trawl fishery, estuarine gill net fishery, pound net fishery, the ocean gill net fishery,

commercial gig, and the long-haul seine fishery. North Carolina also conducts dockside sampling of the aforementioned fisheries to obtain lengths and aggregate weight data for landed species.

3.3.2 Observer Program

As a condition of state and/or federal permitting, many vessels are required to carry at-sea observers when requested. A minimum set of standard data elements are to be collected through the ACCSP at-sea observer program. Specific fisheries priorities will be determined by the Discard/Release Prioritization Committee of ACCSP.

3.4.3 Fishery-Independent Data Collection

Several states, along with NOAA Fisheries, conduct seasonal sampling to collect biological information of summer flounder, scup, and black sea bass populations both inshore and in the EEZ. The Commonwealth of Massachusetts conducts spring and fall otter trawl surveys to collect age, length, and maturity data. These data are used to generate young of year and abundance indices for summer flounder, scup, and black sea bass. Rhode Island DEM Marine Fisheries operates a spring and fall seasonal survey to create biomass indices and a monthly trawl survey to produce mean number and weight per tow. Additionally, a beach seine survey is conducted seasonally to monitor juvenile scup abundance. The Long Island Sound Trawl survey is conducted each spring and fall by Connecticut to generate indices of abundance. New York maintains both a small mesh otter trawl survey in the Peconic Bay to monitor young of year, scup yearlings, and scup adult abundance indices and a nearshore trawl survey each winter, spring, summer, and fall to monitor abundance indices. Also conducted is the Nearshore Atlantic trawl survey, which focuses on collecting biological information and creating indices of abundance for adult and subadult summer flounder and black sea bass. Age, length, sex, and maturity are collected from a subset of fish by New York on these surveys. New Jersey conducts an ocean trawl survey five times a year from which age, length and sex data for all three species are collected and catch-per-unit-of-effort and distribution information are generated for juveniles and adults. Two trawl surveys are conducted annually in Delaware's estuarine waters to assess relative abundance of both adult and juvenile finfish. Maryland conducts the Coastal Bays Finfish Investigation Trawl and Beach Seine surveys, with a total of 140 trawls and 38 beach seine hauls conducted annually to estimate juvenile abundances. Indices of abundance are calculated from the Virginia Institute of Marine Science (VIMS) Juvenile Trawl Survey and the Chesapeake Bay Multispecies Monitoring and Assessment Program (ChesMMAP). NEAMAP, or the Northeast Area Monitoring and Assessment Program, Trawl Survey generates coastwide age-specific and aggregated age class indices of abundance in the fall and spring.

4.0 MANAGEMENT PROGRAM

4.1 COMMERCIAL AND RECREATIONAL ALLOCATION

The commercial and recreational summer flounder, scup, and black sea bass fisheries are managed with sector specific ACLs. For summer flounder, this Amendment allocates 55% of the ABC to the commercial ACL and 45% to the recreational ACL. For scup, this Amendment allocates 65% of the ABC to the commercial ACL and 35% to the recreational ACL. For black sea bass, this Amendment allocates 45% of the ABC to the commercial ACL and 55% to the

recreational ACL. These revised sector allocations are based on updated data from the base years that were used to set the original sector allocations.

This Amendment revises summer flounder and black sea bass from landings-based allocations to catch-based allocations; catch-based allocations were already in place for scup. Catch-based allocations apply the commercial/recreational allocation at the acceptable biological catch (ABC) level, meaning the entire amount of allowable catch (i.e., the ABC, which includes landings and dead discards) would be split into the recreational and commercial ACLs based on the commercial/recreational allocation percentages defined in Table 21. Expected dead discards are then calculated for each sector to subtract from the sector ACLs to determine the sector landings limits.

Table 21. Commercial and Recreational Sector Allocations.

Species	Base Years	Data Type	Catch-Based Allocations
Summer Flounder	1980-1989	Commercial and Recreational Landings	55% Commercial; 45% Recreational
Scup	1988-1992	Commercial and Recreational Catch	65% Commercial; 35% Recreational
Black Sea Bass	1983-1992	Commercial and Recreational Landings	45% Commercial; 55% Recreational

4.2 IMPACTS OF THE FISHERY MANAGEMENT PROGRAM

4.2.1 Recreational and Commercial Allocation Impacts

Socioeconomic Impacts

The new sector allocations result in an increased recreational allocation for all three species. This results in higher RHLs than the previous allocations. RHLs help inform recreational measures such as possession limits, fish size restrictions, and open/closed seasons. Generally speaking, these measures are adjusted as needed when harvest exceeds or underachieves the RHL. Liberalizing or restricting recreational measures can impact angler access to all three species. Increased access could take the form of more fish to take home (under higher possession limits or lower minimum fish sizes) and more opportunities to target these species (under longer open seasons), while decreased access could mean the ability to retain fewer fish and reduced opportunities to target these species. This can affect angler satisfaction, revenues for for-hire businesses (e.g., by impacting demand for for-hire trips), and revenues for support businesses such as bait and tackle shops.

At the community level, these impacts may be greatest for communities with or near recreational fishing sites, communities where for-hire businesses are based, and communities with tourism that is impacted by recreational fishing.

The new allocations for all three species result in reduced allocation to the commercial sector, which is expected to result in lower commercial quotas than the previous allocations. The commercial sector may experience a loss in revenue due to corresponding lower quotas and a reduction in potential landings of summer flounder and black sea bass. For scup, this will depend on the degree of the decrease in the quota as the commercial scup quota has not been fully harvested since 2007 due to other factors such as market demand. For all three species, the loss in revenue associated with the reduction in quota is not expected to be linear, as the relationship between price and volume landed in the fishery is not linear and is variable by species. Other factors such as variation in costs can also affect revenue. Some negative impacts associated with quota reductions might be partially offset by the potential for increased prices paid by dealers if decreased quotas result in decreased supply. However, the degree to which this happens depends on the relationship between demand and price.

Impacts from a reduction in commercial quota will not be felt equally across all commercial industry participants. The coastwide commercial quota is divided into state quotas for summer flounder and black sea bass, and seasonal quota periods for scup. Of the three scup quota periods, only the summer period quota is further allocated among states. Some states fully utilize their quota year after year, while other states tend to underutilize their quota. Commercial fishermen from states that fully utilize quota are more likely to experience loss in revenue, restrictive trip limits, and seasonal closures to account for the reduced commercial quota. States that have historically underutilized their quota may still be impacted in the medium- to long-term as reduced access to quota may inhibit the ability for market expansion in the future. These states could also be impacted in the near-term if quotas drop below what is currently being utilized.

Lower commercial quotas resulting from lower allocations could result in lower trip limits and shorter seasons. Lower trip limits can incentivize high-grading whereby smaller fish are discarded to allow for more landings of larger fish that can fetch a higher price per pound. Shorter seasons could result in market instability through greater fluctuations in price, as well as “race to fish” conditions if seasons are shortened substantially. A reduction in commercial quotas would not just impact commercial fishermen, it would also reduce the availability of these species to consumers. Changes in commercial allocation of these three species also affects the economic health of communities with notable participation in these commercial fisheries through employment in the harvesting, processing, distribution, and retail aspects of the commercial fisheries. The scale of the impacts will depend on the scale of the change and the degree of local economic dependence on these commercial fisheries.

Biological Impacts to Summer Flounder, Scup, and Black Sea Bass Stocks
As described above, the revised sector allocations reduce the commercial share of the ABC, which result in lower commercial quotas compared to the previous allocations. A decrease in the commercial quota could lead to increased regulatory discards of these species compared to recent levels. Actual changes in discards will depend on many factors. For example, fishing behavior is influenced by many factors in addition to the regulations (e.g., weather, availability of other target species, market demand). Discards are also influenced by availability of each

species, both overall abundance and by size class. For example, high availability of fish smaller than the minimum size limit can lead to high regulatory discards. Lower availability of legal-sized fish can lead to decreased discards. For these reasons, it is challenging to predict future discards based on changes in allocations.

In all cases, total dead catch (i.e., landings and dead discards) will continue to be constrained by the overall ABC, which is set based on the best scientific information available and is intended to prevent overfishing. In this way, this Amendment is not expected to change patterns in landings, discards, or fishing effort in such a way that they negatively impact stock status for any of the three species.

Landings and discards in the commercial and recreational sectors are monitored and estimated in different ways. A preliminary analysis taking into account the different levels of precision of the estimates of landings and dead discards in each sector for all three species suggests the risk of exceeding the ABC does not vary greatly under a wide range of different proportions of total dead catch from each sector. This suggests changes in the commercial/recreational allocation may not have notably different impacts on the risk of exceeding the ABC.

4.2.2 Impacts of Framework/Addendum Provision Alternatives

This Amendment allows changes to commercial/recreational allocations and sector allocation transfer provisions to be implemented through a framework action (for the Council) and/or an FMP addendum (for the Commission) moving forward. This is intended to simplify and improve the efficiency of future actions to the extent possible and would not have any direct impacts on the environment or human communities as this is primarily procedural in nature. The Council and Board could still decide it is more appropriate to use an amendment if significant changes are proposed. The impacts of any specific changes to the commercial/recreational allocations or transfers between the sectors considered through a future framework/ addendum would be analyzed through a separate process with associated public comment opportunities and a full description of expected impacts.

4.3 ALTERNATIVE STATE MANAGEMENT REGIMES

4.3.1 General Procedures

A state may submit a proposal for a change to its regulatory program or any mandatory compliance measure under this Amendment to the Commission. Such changes shall be submitted to the Chair of the Plan Review Team (PRT), who shall distribute the proposal to appropriate groups, including the Board, the PRT, the TC, and the AP.

The PRT is responsible for gathering the comments of the TC and the AP. The PRT is also responsible for presenting these comments to the Board for decision.

The Board will decide whether to approve the state proposal for an alternative management program if it determines that it is consistent with the target fishing mortality rate applicable as well as the goals and objectives of this Amendment.

In order to maintain consistency within a fishing season, new rules should be implemented prior to the start of the fishing season. Given the time needed for the TC, AP, and Board to review the proposed regulations, as well as the time required by an individual state to promulgate new regulations, it may not be possible to implement new regulations for the on-going fishing season. In this case, new regulations should be effective at the start of the following season after a determination to do so has been made.

4.3.2 Management Program Equivalency

Management program equivalency (also known as "conservation equivalency" or CE) refers to actions taken by a state which differ from the specific requirements of the FMP, but which achieve the same quantified level of conservation for the resource under management. It is the responsibility of the state to demonstrate the proposed management program is equivalent to the FMP standards and consistent with the restrictions and requirements for CE determined by the Board.

The Commission's Conservation Equivalency Policy and Technical Guidance Document provides specific guidance on development, submission, review and approval of CE proposals.

4.3.3 *De minimis* Fishery Guidelines

The Commission's Interstate Fisheries Management Program Charter defines *de minimis* as a situation in which, under existing conditions of the stock and scope of the fishery, conservation and enforcement actions taken by an individual state would be expected to contribute insignificantly to a coastwide conservation program required by an FMP or amendment. Commission FMPs commonly include *de minimis* provisions to relieve regulatory and monitoring burdens for states that meet predetermined conditions and follow a defined request process.

For all three species, any state in which commercial landings during the last preceding calendar year for which data are available are less than 0.1 percent of the total coastwide quota can be granted *de minimis* status for the commercial fishery, by way of a formal written request from the state and subsequent review by the PRT and approval by the Summer Flounder, Scup, and Black Sea Bass Board.

For summer flounder, the total quota allocated to each *de minimis* state will be set equal to 0.1 percent of the total yearly allocation, and will be subtracted from the coastwide quota before the remainder is allocated to the other states. In applying for *de minimis* status, a state must show that it has implemented reasonable steps to prevent landings from exceeding its *de minimis* allocation. Currently, no exemptions from regulations exist for scup and black sea bass for states that are granted *de minimis* status.

4.4 ADAPTIVE MANAGEMENT

The Board may vary the requirements specified in this Amendment as a part of adaptive management in order to conserve the summer flounder, scup, and black sea bass resources.

The elements that can be modified by adaptive management are listed in *Section 4.4.2*. The process under which adaptive management can occur is provided below.

4.4.1 General Procedures

The PRT will monitor the status of the fishery and the resource and report on that status to the Board annually or when directed to do so by the Board. The PRT will consult with TC, the stock assessment sub-committee, and the AP in making such review and report, if necessary.

The Board will review the report of the PRT, and may consult further with the TC, or AP. The Board may, based on the PRT report or on its own discretion, direct the Plan Development Team (PDT) to prepare an addendum to make any changes it deems necessary. The addendum shall contain a schedule for the states to implement the new provisions.

The PDT will prepare a draft addendum as directed by the Board, and shall distribute it to all states for review and comment. A public hearing will be held in any state that requests one. The PDT will also request comment from federal agencies and the public at large. After at least a 30-day review period, staff, in consultation with the PDT, will summarize the comments received and prepare a final version of the addendum for the Board.

The Board shall review the final version of the addendum prepared by the PDT, and shall also consider the public comments received and the recommendations of the TC, Law Enforcement Committee (LEC), and AP. The Board shall then decide whether to adopt, or revise and then adopt, the addendum.

Upon adoption of an addendum by the Board, states shall prepare plans to carry out the addendum, and submit them to the Board for approval according to the schedule contained in the addendum.

4.4.2 Measures Subject to Change

The following measures are subject to change under adaptive management upon approval by the Board:

1. Minimum fish size.
2. Maximum fish size.
3. Gear restrictions.
4. Gear requirements or prohibitions.
5. Permitting restrictions.
6. Recreational possession limit.
7. Recreational seasons.
8. Closed areas.
9. Commercial seasons.
10. Commercial trip limits.
11. Commercial quota system including commercial quota allocation procedure and possible quota set asides to mitigate bycatch.
12. Recreational harvest limit.
13. Annual specification quota setting process.

14. FMP Technical Monitoring Committee composition and process.
15. Description and identification of essential fish habitat (EFH) and fishing gear management measures that impact EFH.
16. Description and identification of habitat areas of particular concern.
17. Overfishing definition and related thresholds and targets.
18. Regional gear restrictions.
19. Regional season restrictions (including option to split seasons).
20. Restrictions on vessel size (LOA and GRT) or shaft horsepower.
21. Operator permits.
22. Any other commercial or recreational management measure.
23. Any other management measures currently included in the FMP.
24. Set aside quotas for scientific research.
25. Commercial/recreational sector allocations.
26. Commercial/recreational sector transfers.

4.5 EMERGENCY PROCEDURES

Emergency procedures may be used by the Board to require any emergency action that is not covered by, is an exception to, or a change to any provision in this Amendment. Procedures for implementation are addressed in the ASMFC Interstate Fisheries Management Program Charter, Section Six (c)(10) (ASMFC 2019).

4.6 MANAGEMENT INSTITUTIONS

4.6.1 Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission and ISFMP Policy Board

The Commission and the ISFMP Policy Board are generally responsible for the oversight and management of the Commission's fisheries management activities. The Commission must approve all fishery management plans and amendments, including this Amendment. The ISFMP Policy Board reviews any non-compliance recommendations of the various Boards and, if it concurs, forwards them to the Commission for action.

4.6.2 Summer Flounder, Scup, and Black Sea Bass Management Board

The Board was established under the provisions of the Commission's ISFMP Charter (Section Four; ASMFC 2019) and is generally responsible for carrying out all activities under this Amendment.

The Board establishes and oversees the activities of the PDT, PRT, Technical Committee, and the AP. In addition, the Board makes changes to the management program under adaptive management, reviews state programs implementing the amendment, and approves alternative state programs through conservation equivalency. The Board reviews the status of state compliance with the management program annually, and if it determines that a state is out of compliance, reports that determination to the ISFMP Policy Board under the terms of the ISFMP Charter.

4.6.3. Summer Flounder, Scup, and Black Sea Bass Commercial/Recreational Allocation Amendment Fishery Management Action Team and Plan Development Team

The FMAT and the PDT are composed of personnel from state and federal agencies who have scientific knowledge of summer flounder, scup, and black sea bass and management abilities. The FMAT/PDT is responsible for preparing and developing management documents, including amendments, using the best scientific information available and the most current stock assessment information. FMAT and PDT membership and purpose are identical, the key distinction is the FMAT is convened in accordance with MAFMC guidelines and the PDT is convened in accordance with the Interstate Fisheries Management Program Charter. The ASMFC FMP Coordinators are members of the FMAT/PDT. The FMAT/PDT will either disband or assume inactive status upon completion of this Amendment.

4.6.4 Summer Flounder, Scup, and Black Sea Bass Plan Review Team

The Plan Review Team (PRT) is composed of personnel from state and federal agencies who have scientific and management ability and knowledge of summer flounder, scup, and black sea bass. The PRT is responsible for providing annual advice concerning the implementation, review, monitoring, and enforcement of this Amendment once it has been adopted by the Commission. After final action on the amendment, the Board may elect to retain members of the PDT as members of the PRT, or appoint new members.

4.6.5 Summer Flounder, Scup, and Black Sea Bass Technical Committee

The Summer Flounder, Scup, and Black Sea Bass Technical Committee (TC) consists of representatives from state or federal agencies, Regional Fishery Management Councils, the Commission, a university, or other specialized personnel with scientific and technical expertise, and knowledge of the summer flounder, scup, and black sea bass fisheries. The Board appoints the members of the TC and may authorize additional seats as it sees fit. The role of the TC is to assess the species' population, provide scientific advice concerning the implications of proposed or potential management alternatives, and respond to other scientific questions from the Board, PDT, or PRT.

4.6.6 Summer Flounder, Scup, and Black Sea Bass Advisory Panel

The Summer Flounder, Scup, and Black Sea Bass AP is established according to the Commission's Advisory Committee Charter. Members of the AP are citizens who represent a cross-section of commercial and recreational fishing interests and others who are concerned about summer flounder, scup, and black sea bass conservation and management. The AP provides the Board with advice directly concerning the Commission's summer flounder, scup, and black sea bass management program.

4.6.7 Federal Agencies

4.6.7.1 Management in the Exclusive Economic Zone

Management of summer flounder in the EEZ is within the jurisdiction of one Regional Fishery Management Council (the Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council) under the Magnuson-Stevens Act (16 U.S.C. 1801 et seq.). The Council annually makes recommendations on catch and landings limits as well as gear modifications to the NOAA Fisheries through the specification process. More information can be found in section 4.1.

4.6.7.2 Federal Agency Participation in the Management Process

The Commission has accorded USFWS and NOAA Fisheries voting status on the ISFMP Policy Board and the Summer Flounder, Scup, and Black Sea Bass Management Board in accordance with the Commission's ISFMP Charter. NOAA Fisheries can also participate on the Summer Flounder, Scup, and Black Sea Bass FMAT/PDT, PRT, and TC.

4.6.7.3 Consultation with Regional Fishery Management Councils

At the time of adoption of this Amendment, the Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council is the only Regional Fishery Management Council to have implemented a management plan for summer flounder, scup, and black sea bass; no other Councils have indicated an intent to develop a plan.

4.7 RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE SECRETARY OF COMMERCE FOR COMPLEMENTARY ACTIONS IN FEDERAL JURISDICTIONS

The summer flounder, scup, and black sea bass fishery management plan is jointly managed between the Commission, Council, and NOAA Fisheries. The proposed alternatives in this Amendment will affect both state and federal permit holders operating in the commercial and recreational summer flounder, scup, and black sea bass fisheries in both state and federal waters. The Atlantic states (through the Commission), the Council, and NOAA Fisheries through joint management coordinate to ensure consistency in management between state and federal waters. Therefore, a specific recommendation to the Secretary of Commerce for complementary action in federal jurisdictions is unnecessary at this time. The Board may consider further recommendations to the Secretary if changes to this Amendment occur through the adaptive management process (*Section 4.6*).

4.8 COOPERATION WITH OTHER MANAGEMENT INSTITUTIONS

The Board will cooperate, when necessary, with other management institutions during the implementation of this Amendment, including NOAA Fisheries and the New England, Mid-Atlantic, and South Atlantic Fishery Management Councils.

5.0 COMPLIANCE

The full implementation of the provisions included in this Amendment is necessary for the management program to be equitable, efficient, and effective. States are expected to implement these measures faithfully under state laws. The Commission will continually monitor the effectiveness of state implementation and determine whether states are in compliance with the provisions of this fishery management plan.

The Board sets forth specific elements that the Commission will consider in determining state compliance with this fishery management plan, and the procedures that will govern the evaluation of compliance. Additional details of the procedures are found in the ASMFC Interstate Fishery Management Program Charter (ASMFC 2019).

5.1 MANDATORY COMPLIANCE ELEMENTS FOR STATES

A state will be determined to be out of compliance with the provision of this fishery management plan according to the terms of Section Seven of the ISFMP Charter if:

- Its regulatory and management programs to implement this Amendment have not been approved by the Board; or
- It fails to meet any schedule required by Section 5.2, or any addendum prepared under adaptive management (*Section 4.6*); or

- It has failed to implement a change to its program when determined necessary by the Board; or
- It makes a change to its regulations required under *Section 4* or any addendum prepared under adaptive management (*Section 4.6*), without prior approval of the Board.

5.1.1 Regulatory Requirements

To be considered in compliance with this fishery management plan, all state programs must include a regime of restrictions on summer flounder, scup, and black sea bass fisheries consistent with the requirements of *Section 3.1.1: Commercial Catch and Landings Programs; Section 3.3: Biological Data Collection Programs;* and *Section 4.0: Management Program*. A state may propose an alternative management program under *Section 4.3: Alternative State Management Regimes*, which, if approved by the Board, may be implemented as an alternative regulatory requirement for compliance. This document complements other regulatory requirements and standards pertaining to summer flounder, scup, and black sea bass fisheries. The recreational management measures specifications process for summer flounder and black sea bass (Addendum XXXII), scup commercial quota management (Addendum XXIX), etc. Each species' key compliance items requested through the annual compliance review are listed below in Section 5.3.

5.2 COMPLIANCE SCHEDULE

This Amendment will become effective on January 1, 2023.

5.3 COMPLIANCE REPORT CONTENT

5.3.1 Summer Flounder Compliance Report Content

Each state must submit to the Commission an annual report concerning its summer flounder fisheries and management program for the previous year, no later than June 1st. A standard compliance report format has been prepared and adopted by the ISFMP Policy Board. States should follow this format in completing the annual compliance report.

The report shall cover:

Request for *de minimis*, where applicable.

Any state that has commercial landings of less than 0.1% of the total coastwide commercial landings in the last preceding year for which data are available is eligible for *de minimis* status.

Previous calendar year's fishery

a. Activities of fishery dependent monitoring (provide a brief review of results including monitoring of gear restrictions; prohibition of transfers at sea; and minimum size limit).
b. Activities of fishery independent monitoring (provide a brief review of results).
c. Copy of regulations that were in effect for 2019. Has the state implemented the required measures as mandated in the FMP, listed below? Please answer with either 'yes' or 'no'.

Commercial

Has the state implemented the required measure?	yes	no
14" minimum size		
5.5" diamond or 6" square minimum mesh		
Threshold to trigger minimum mesh size requirements: (200 lbs 11/1 - 4/30; 100 lbs from 5/1 - 10/31)		
Prohibition of transfers at sea		

Recreational

Provide state specific measures for the previous and current fishing season.

d. Harvest broken down by commercial (by gear type where applicable) and recreational, and non-harvest losses (when available).

Planned management programs for the current calendar year

Summarize any changes from previous years

5.3.2 Scup Compliance Report Content

Each state must submit to the Commission an annual report concerning its scup fisheries and management program for the previous year, no later than June 1st. A standard compliance report format has been prepared and adopted by the ISFMP Policy Board. States should follow this format in completing the annual compliance report.

Request for *de minimis*, where applicable.

Any state that has commercial landings of less than 0.1% of the total coastwide commercial landings in the last preceding year for which data are available is eligible for *de minimis* status.

Previous calendar year's fishery

a. Activities of fishery dependent monitoring (provide a brief review of results including monitoring of gear restrictions and quota management for the winter I & II and summer periods; minimum size).
b. Activities of fishery independent monitoring (provide a brief review of results).
c. Copy of regulations that were in effect for the most recent year. Has the state implemented the required measures as mandated in the FMP, listed blow? Please answer with either 'yes' or 'no'.

Commercial

Has the state implemented the required measure?	yes	no
9” minimum size		
Minimum diamond mesh: Otter trawls must have a minimum mesh size of 5” for the first 75 meshes from the terminus of the net and a minimum mesh size of 5” throughout the net for codends constructed with fewer than 75 meshes		
Maximum roller rig trawl roller diameter: 18”		
Threshold to trigger minimum mesh requirements: (1,000 lbs 10/1 - 4/15; 2,000 lbs from 4/15 - 6/15; 200 lbs 6/15 - 9/30)		
Pot and trap escape vents: 3.1” circular escape vents, 2.25” square escape vent, or rectangular escape vent of equivalent size.		
Pot and trap degradable fastener provisions: a) untreated hemp, jute, or cotton string 3/16” (4.8 mm) or smaller; b) magnesium alloy timed float releases or fasteners; c) ungalvanized, uncoated iron wire of 0.094” (2.4mm) or smaller		

Recreational

Provide state specific measures for the previous and current fishing season

d. Harvest broken down by commercial (by gear type where applicable) and recreational, and non-harvest losses (when available).

Planned management programs for the current calendar year

Summarize any changes from previous years.

5.3.3 Black Sea Bass Compliance Report Content

Each state must submit to the Commission an annual report concerning its black sea bass fisheries and management program for the previous year, no later than June 1st. A standard compliance report format has been prepared and adopted by the ISFMP Policy Board. States should follow this format in completing the annual compliance report.

Request for *de minimis*, where applicable.

Any state that has commercial landings of less than 0.1% of the total coastwide commercial landings in the last preceding year for which data are available is eligible for *de minimis* status. (Amendment 13)

Previous calendar year’s fishery

a. Activities of fishery dependent monitoring (provide a brief review of results including monitoring of gear restrictions and minimum size).
b. Activities of fishery independent monitoring (provide a brief review of results).

c. Copy of regulations that were in effect for the most recent year. Has the state implemented the required measures as mandated in the FMP, listed below? Please answer with either 'yes' or 'no'.

Commercial

Has the state implemented the required measure?	yes	no
11" minimum size		
4.5" minimum mesh size for entire net or 4.5" diamond mesh in codend (for large trawl nets)		
Threshold to trigger minimum mesh requirements: (500 lbs for January - March; 100 lbs from April- December)		
2.5" circular escape vents, 2" square escape vent, or 1.375" X 5.75"rectangular escape vent for pots/traps. Two vents required in parlor portion of pot/trap.		
Pot and trap degradable fastener provisions: a) untreated hemp, jute, or cotton string 3/16" (4.8 mm) or smaller; b) magnesium alloy timed float releases or fasteners; c) ungalvanized, uncoated iron wire of 0.094" (2.4mm) or smaller. The opening covered by a panel affixed with degradable fasteners would be required to be at least 3"x 6".		

Recreational

Provide state specific measures for the previous and current fishing season.

d. Harvest broken down by commercial (by gear type where applicable) and recreational, and non-harvest losses (when available).

Planned management programs for the current calendar year

Summarize any changes from previous years.

5.4 PROCEDURES FOR DETERMINING COMPLIANCE

Detailed procedures regarding compliance determinations are contained in the ISFMP Charter, Section Seven (ASMFC 2019). In brief, all states are responsible for the full and effective implementation and enforcement of fishery management plans in areas subject to their jurisdiction. Written compliance reports as specified in the amendment must be submitted annually by each state with a declared interest. Compliance with this FMP will be reviewed at least annually; however, the Board, ISFMP Policy Board, or the Commission may request the PRT to conduct a review of state's implementation and compliance with the FMP at any time.

The Board will review the written findings of the PRT within 60 days of receipt of a state's compliance report. Should the Board recommend to the Policy Board that a state be determined out of compliance, a rationale for the recommended noncompliance finding will be addressed in a report. The report will include the required measures of this FMP that the state has not implemented or enforced, a statement of how failure to implement or enforce required

measures jeopardizes the species in question's conservation, and the actions a state must take in order to comply with requirements of this FMP.

The ISFMP Policy Board will review any recommendation of noncompliance from the Board within 30 days. If it concurs with the recommendation, it shall recommend to the Commission that a state be found out of compliance.

The Commission shall consider any noncompliance recommendation from the ISFMP Policy Board within 30 days. Any state that is the subject of a recommendation for a noncompliance finding is given an opportunity to present written and/or oral testimony concerning whether it should be found out of compliance. If the Commission agrees with the recommendation of the ISFMP Policy Board, it may determine that a state is not in compliance with this Amendment, and specify the actions the state must take to come into compliance.

Any state that has been determined to be out of compliance may request that the Commission rescind its noncompliance findings, provided the state has revised its conservation measures.

5.5 ANALYSIS OF ENFORCEABILITY OF MEASURES

All state programs must include law enforcement capabilities adequate for successfully implementing that state's summer flounder, scup, and black sea bass regulations. The LEC will monitor the adequacy of a state's enforcement activity.

6.0 MANAGEMENT AND RESEARCH NEEDS

The following lists of research needs have been identified to enhance knowledge of the summer flounder, scup, and black sea bass resources. These research needs are drawn from the most recent benchmark stock assessments for each species; the MAFMC's Five Year Research Plan (2020-2024); and the Commission's Research Priorities and Recommendations to Support Interjurisdictional Fisheries Management. The list of research recommendations are classified into 1) stock assessment and population dynamics; 2) research and data needs.

6.1 SUMMER FLOUNDER MANAGEMENT AND RESEARCH NEEDS

6.1.1 Stock Assessment and Population Dynamics

1. Continue to explore changes in the distribution of recruitment. Develop studies, sampling programs, or analyses to better understand how and why these changes are occurring, and the implications to stock productivity.
2. Evaluate the size distribution of landed and discarded fish, by sex, in the summer flounder fisheries.
3. Explore the potential mechanisms for recent slower growth that is observed in both sexes.
4. Incorporate sex -specific differences in size at age into the stock assessment.
5. Continue efforts to improve understanding of sexually dimorphic mortality and growth patterns. This should include monitoring sex ratios and associated biological information

in the fisheries and all ongoing surveys to allow development of sex-structured models in the future.

6. Apply standardization techniques to all of the state and academic-run surveys, to be evaluated for potential inclusion in the assessment.

6.1.2 Research and Data Needs

1. Collect data to evaluate the length, weight, and age compositions of landed and discarded fish in the summer flounder fisheries (recreational and commercial) by sex. Focus should be placed on age sampling of summer flounder 24 inches or larger in total length, using paired hard part samples (i.e., scales, and when possible, otoliths).
2. Evaluate Summer Flounder discard survival under different environmental variables and gear configurations with survey design considerations that account for to feeding and predation.
3. Continue to evaluate the causes for decreased recruitment, changes in recruitment distribution, and changes in the recruit-per-spawner relationship in recent years. Develop studies, sampling programs, or analyses to better understand how and why these changes are occurring, and the implications to stock productivity.
4. Evaluate changes in habitat use/availability by early life stage summer flounder.

6.2 SCUP MANAGEMENT AND RESEARCH NEEDS

6.2.1 Stock Assessment and Population Dynamics

1. A standardized fishery dependent catch per unit effort (CPUE) of scup targeted tows, from either Northeast Fisheries Observer Program observer samples or the commercial study fleet, might be considered as an additional index of abundance to complement survey indices in future benchmark assessments.
2. Explore additional sources of length/age data from fisheries and surveys in the early parts of the time series to provide additional context for model results.
3. Explore experiments to estimate catchability of scup in Northeast Fisheries Science Center (NEFSC) and other research trawl surveys (side-by-side, camera, gear mensuration, acoustics, etc.)
4. Quantification of the biases in the catch and discards, including noncompliance, would help confirm the weightings used in the next stock assessment model.
5. Experimental work to better characterize the discard mortality rate of scup captured by different commercial gear types should be conducted to more accurately quantify the magnitude of scup discard mortality.
6. A scientifically designed survey to sample larger and older scup would likely prove useful in improving knowledge of the relative abundance of these larger fish.
7. Explore the applicability of the pattern of fishery selectivity in the model to the most recent catch data to determine whether a new selectivity block in the model is warranted.

6.2.2 Research and Data Needs

1. A management strategy evaluation of alternative approaches to setting quota.

2. Evaluate the spatial and temporal overlap of scup and squid to better understand and characterize Scup discard patterns.

3. Characterize the pattern of selectivity for older ages of scup in both surveys and fisheries.
4. Explore the relationship between scup market trends, regulatory changes, and commercial landings and discards.
5. Evaluate the role and relative importance of implemented strategies (i.e., gear restricted areas, increased minimum mesh size, and minimizing scup and squid fishery interactions) versus the long-term climate variability to the increases in stock abundance and high recruitment events since 2000.
6. Characterize the current scup market and explore the development of new markets.

6.3 BLACK SEA BASS MANAGEMENT AND RESEARCH NEEDS

6.3.1 Stock Assessment and Population Dynamics

1. Continue and expand the tagging program to provide increased age information and increased resolution on mixing rates among putative populations
2. Expand on previous genetic studies with smaller spatial increments in sampling.
3. Consider the impact of climate change on black sea bass, particularly in the Gulf of Maine.
4. Evaluate population sex change and sex ratio, particularly comparing dynamics among communities.
5. Study black sea bass catchability in a variety of survey gear types.
6. Investigate and document social and spawning dynamics of black sea bass.
7. Evaluate use of samples collected by industry study fleets.
8. Explore alternative assessment models, including non-age based alternatives

6.3.2 Research and Data Needs

1. Increase sampling of commercial landings
2. Increase sample size of at sea observers and dockside validation of headboats. Increase recreational fisheries sampling.
3. Determine depth, temperature, and season specific discard mortality rates. Assess and incorporate the impact of circle hook fishing regulations on discard mortality. Obtain more depth specific information from the private recreational fleet, MRIP At-Sea observer program, and Headboat Survey in the range of the southern stock.
4. Collect better spatial information in black sea bass fisheries to determine potential localized depletion effects.
5. Conduct a pot survey throughout the range of the northern management unit and consider for an index of abundance.
6. Expand fishery-independent surveys to sample all sizes and age classes to develop more reliable catch-at-age and CPUE.
7. Expand sampling to cover the entire range of the southern stock over a longer time period.

8. Conduct at sea sex sampling to determine trend of sex change timing and assess the potential influence of population size on sex switching.

9. Develop a reliable fishery independent index for black sea bass for habitats not effectively sampled with existing methodologies.

7.0

8.0 REFERENCES

Able, K.W. and M.P. Fahay. 2010. Pp. 354-358 in: Ecology of Estuarine Fishes: Temperate Waters of the Western North Atlantic. Johns Hopkins Press.

Able, K.W. and L.S. Hales, Jr. 1997. Movements of juvenile black sea bass Centropristis striata (Linnaeus) in a southern New Jersey estuary. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 213: 153-167.

Adams, A.J. 1993. Dynamics of fish assemblages associated with an offshore artificial reef in the southern Mid-Atlantic Bight. M.A. thesis, Coll. William and Mary, Williamsburg, VA. 98 p.

Allen, D.M., J.P. Clymer, III, and S.S. Herman. 1978. Fishes of Hereford Inlet estuary, southern New Jersey. Lehigh Univ., Dept. Biol. and Cent. Mar. Environ. Stud. and Wetlands Instit. 138 p.

ASMFC (Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission). 2016. 2016 Tautog Stock Assessment Update. Available at: http://www.asmfc.org/uploads/file/589e1d3f2016TautogAssessmentUpdate_Oct2016.pdf.

ASMFC (Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission). 2017. 2017 Atlantic Croaker Stock Assessment Peer Review. Available at: http://www.asmfc.org/uploads/file/59c2ba88AtlCroakerAssessmentPeerReviewReport_May2017.pdf.

Auster, P.J., R.J. Malatesta, and S.C. LaRosa. 1995. Patterns of microhabitat utilization by mobile megafauna on the southern New England (USA) continental shelf and slope. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 127: 77-85.

Auster, P.J., R.J. Malatesta, S.C. LaRosa, R.A. Cooper, and L.L. Stewart. 1991. Microhabitat utilization by the megafaunal assemblage at a low relief outer continental shelf site - Middle Atlantic Bight, USA. J. Northwest Atl. Fish. Sci. 11: 59-69.

Bell, R.J. et al. 2015. Disentangling the effects of climate, abundance, and size on the distribution of marine fish: an example based on four stocks from the Northeast US shelf. ICES J. Mar. Sci. 72(5):1311-1322.

Blaylock, J. and G.R. Shepherd. 2016. Evaluating the vulnerability of an atypical protogynous hermaphrodite to fishery exploitation: results from a population model for black sea bass (*Centropristis striata*). *Fishery Bulletin* 114(4): 476-489.

Bigelow, H.B. and W.C. Schroeder. 1953. Fishes of the Gulf of Maine. U.S. Fish Wildl. Serv. Fish. Bull. 53. 577 p.

Briggs, P.T. 1975. An evaluation of artificial reefs in New York's marine waters. N.Y. Fish Game J. 22: 51-56.

Clayton, G., C. Cole, S. Murawski, and J. Parrish. 1978. Common marine fishes of coastal Massachusetts. Contrib. 54, Mass. Coop. Fish. Res. Prog. Univ. Mass., Amherst. 231 p.

Drohan, A.F., J. P. Manderson, D. B. Packer. 2007. Essential fish habitat source document: black sea bass, *Centropristis striata*, life history and habitat characteristics, 2nd edition. NOAA Technical Memorandum NOAA Fisheries NE 200; 68 p.

Eklund, A.-M. 1988. Fishes inhabiting hard bottom reef areas in the Middle Atlantic Bight: seasonality of species composition, catch rates, and reproduction. M.S. thesis, Univ. of Delaware, Newark, DE. 98 p.

Eno, N.C., D.S MacDonald, J.A.M. Kinnear, S.C Amos, C.J. Chapman, R.A Clark, F.P.D Bunker, Munro, C. 2001. Effects of crustacean traps on benthic fauna. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*. 58:11-20.

Finkelstein, S.L. 1969. Age and growth of scup in the waters of eastern Long Island. N.Y. Fish Game J. 16: 84-110.

Gaichas, S., J. Hare, M. Pinksy, G. DePiper, O. Jensen, T. Lederhouse, J. Link, D. Lipton, R. Seagraves, J. Manderson, and M. Clark. 2015. Climate change and variability: a white paper to inform the Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council on the impact of climate change on fishery science and management. Second draft. Available at: http://www.mafmc.org/eafm/

Gilbert, CR and JD Williams. 2002. National Audubon Society Field Guide to Fishes: North America. Alfred A. Knopf, New York, NY.

Gottschall, K., M.W. Johnson, and D.G. Simpson. 2000. The distribution and size composition of finfish, American lobster and long-finned squid in Long Island Sound, based on the Connecticut Fisheries Division bottom trawl survey, 1984-1994. NOAA Tech. Rep. NOAA Fisheries 148, 195 p.

Gray, C.L. 1990. Scup (*Stenotomus chrysops*): species profile. Rhode Island Dep. Environ. Manag., Div. Fish. Wildl., Mar. Fish. Sect. 38 p.

Greene, J.K., M.G. Anderson, J. Odell, and N. Steinberg, eds. 2010. The Northwest Atlantic Marine Ecoregional Assessment: Species, Habitats and Ecosystems. Phase One. The Nature Conservancy, Eastern U.S. Division, Boston, MA. Available at: www.conservationgateway.org

Kendall, A.W. 1973. Scup. In A.L. Pacheco ed. Proceedings of a workshop on egg, larval and juvenile stages of fish in Atlantic coast estuaries. p. 258. U.S. Dep. Commer. NOAA, NOAA Fisheries Mid-Atl. Coastal Fish. Cent. Tech. Publ. No. 1.

Lucey, S. M. and J. A. Nye. 2010. Shifting species assemblages in the northeast US continental shelf large marine ecosystem. Marine Ecology Progress Series. 415: 23-33.

MAFMC (Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council). 1996. Amendment 8 to the summer flounder Fishery Management Plan: Fishery Management Plan and final environmental

impact statement for the scup fishery. January 1996. MAFMC. [Dover, DE.] 162 p. + appendices.

MAFMC (Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council). 1998. Amendment 12 to the Summer Flounder, Scup, and Black Sea Bass Fishery Management Plan. Dover, DE. 398 p. + appendices. Available at: http://www.mafmc.org/s/SFSCBSB_Amend_12.pdf.

MAFMC (Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council). 2002. Amendment 13 to the Summer Flounder, Scup, and Black Sea Bass Fishery Management Plan. Dover, DE. Available at: https://mafmc.squarespace.com/s/SFSCBSB_Amend_13_Vol_1compressed.pdf

MAFMC (Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council). 2008. Amendment 9 to the Atlantic Mackerel, Squid, and Butterfish Fishery Management Plan. 461 p. Available at: http://www.mafmc.org/s/SMB_Amend_9_Vol_1.pdf.

MAFMC (Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council). 2019. Comprehensive Five-Year (2020-2024) Research Priorities, December 2019. 17 p. Available at: https://www.mafmc.org/research-priorities.

Morgan, L.E., and R. Chuenpagdee. 2003. Shifting gears: addressing the collateral impacts of fishing methods in U.S. waters. Pew science series on conservation and the environment.

Morse, W.W. 1978. Biological and fisheries data on scup, *Stenotomus chrysops* (Linnaeus). U.S. Natl. Mar. Fish. Serv. Northeast Fish. Cent. Sandy Hook Lab. Tech. Rep. No. 12. 41 p.

NEFMC (New England Fishery Management Council). 2018. Framework Adjustment 5 to the Northeast Skate Complex FMP. Newburyport, MA.

NEFSC (Northeast Fisheries Science Center). 2015. 60th Northeast Regional Stock Assessment (60th SAW) assessment report. Northeast Fisheries Science Center Reference Document 15-08; 870 p.

NEFSC (Northeast Fisheries Science Center). 2017. 62nd Northeast Regional Stock Assessment Workshop (62nd SAW) Assessment Report. US Dept Commer, Northeast Fish Sci Cent Ref Doc. 17-03; 822 p. Available from: National Marine Fisheries Service, 166 Water Street, Woods Hole, MA 02543-1026, or online at http://nefsc.noaa.gov/publications/.

NEFSC (Northeast Fisheries Science Center). 2018. Update on the Status of Spiny Dogfish in 2018 and Projected Harvests at the Fmsy Proxy and Pstar of 40%. Report to the Mid-Atlantic Scientific and Statistical Committee. 82 p. Available at: http://www.mafmc.org/s/2018-Status-Report-for-spiny-dogfish.pdf

NEFSC (Northeast Fisheries Science Center). 2019a. 66th Northeast Regional Stock Assessment Workshop (66th SAW) Assessment Report. US Dept Commer, Northeast Fish Sci Cent Ref Doc. 19-08; 1170 p. Available from: National Marine Fisheries Service, 166 Water Street, Woods Hole, MA 02543-1026, or online at http://nefsc.noaa.gov/publications/.

NEFSC (Northeast Fisheries Science Center). 2019b. Operational Assessment of the Black Sea Bass, Scup, Bluefish, and Monkfish Stocks, Updated Through 2018. Prepublication copy prepared for use by Fishery Management Council staff and SSC. 164 p. Available at: http://www.mafmc.org/s/Operational-Assessments-for-Black-Sea-Bass_Scup_Bluefish.pdf.

NEFSC (Northeast Fisheries Science Center). 2020. Food Habits Database (FHDBS), https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/inport/item/8083

Nye, J. A., T. M. Joyce, Y.O. Kwon, and J.S. Link. 2011. Silver hake tracks changes in Northwest Atlantic circulation. Nature Communications. 2:412.

Packer, David; Griesbach, Sara; Berrien, Peter; Zetlin, Christine; Johnson, Donna; Morse, Wallace. 1999. "Essential Fish Habitat Source Document: Summer Flounder, *Paralichthys dentatus*, Life History and Habitat Characteristics." Highlands, NJ. Available at: http://www.nefsc.noaa.gov/nefsc/publications/tm/tm151/tm151.pdf.

Parker, R.O., Jr. 1990. Tagging studies and diver observations of fish populations on live-bottom reefs of the U.S. southeastern coast. Bull. Mar. Sci. 46: 749-760.

Pinsky, M.L., B. Worm, M.J. Fogarty, J.L. Sarmiento, and S.A. Levin. 2013. Marine taxa track local climate velocities. Science. 341(6151): 1239-1242.

Richards, S.W. 1959. Pelagic fish eggs and larvae of Long Island Sound. In G.A. Riley et al. eds. Oceanography of Long Island Sound. p. 95-124. Bull. Bingham Oceanogr. Collect. 17.

Richards, S.W. 1963. The demersal fish population of Long Island Sound. III. Food of the juveniles from a mud locality (Station 3A). Bull. Bingham Oceanogr. Collect. 18: 73-93.

SEDAR. 2015. SEDAR 39 Stock Assessment Report: HMS Atlantic smooth dogfish. North Charleston (SC): SEDAR.

Sisson, R.T. 1974. The growth and movements of scup (*Stenotomus chrysops*) in Narragansett Bay, Rhode Island and along the Atlantic coast. Rhode Island Dep. Nat. Resources, Completion Rept. Project No. 3-138-R. 34 p.

Steimle, F.W. and W. Figley. 1996. The importance of artificial reef epifauna to black sea bass diets in the Middle Atlantic Bight. N. Am. J. Fish. Manage. 16:433-439.

Steimle, FW, Zetlin CA, Berrien PL, Johnson DL, Chang S. 1999. Essential fish habitat source document: Scup, Stenotomus chrysops, life history and habitat characteristics. NOAA Tech Memo NOAA Fisheries NE 149; 39 p.

Steimle, FW, and Zetlin CA. 2000. Reef habitats in the middle Atlantic bight: abundance, distribution, associated biological communities, and fishery resource use. Marine Fisheries Review. 62: 24-42. 62: 24-42.

Stevenson, D., L. Chiarella, D. Stephan, R. Reid, K. Wilhelm, J. McCarthy, M. Pentony. 2004. Characterization of the fishing practices and marine benthic ecosystems of the Northeast U.S. Shelf, and an evaluation of the potential effects of fishing on Essential Fish Habitat. NOAA Technical Memorandum NOAA Fisheries -NE-181; 179 p.

Weinberg, J. R. 2005. Bathymetric shift in the distribution of Atlantic surfclams: response to warmer ocean temperature. ICES Journal of Marine Science. 62(7): 1444-1453.

Wheatland, S.B. 1956. Pelagic fish eggs and larvae. In G.A. Riley et al. eds. Oceanography of Long Island Sound, 1952-1954. p. 234-314. Bull. Bingham Oceanogr. Collect. 15.

APPENDIX I: ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

ABC	Acceptable Biological Catch
ACL	Annual Catch Limit
ACT	Annual Catch Target
ACCSP	Atlantic Coastal Cooperative Statistics Program
ACFCMA	Atlantic Coastal Fisheries Cooperative Management Act
AM	Accountability Measure
AP	Advisory Panel
Board	The Commission's Summer Flounder, Scup, and Black Sea Bass Management Board
Commission	Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission
Council	Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council
EEZ	Economic Exclusive Zone
EFH	Essential Fish Habitat
FMAT	Fishery Management Action Team
FMP	Fishery Management Plan
LEC	Law Enforcement Committee
MC	Monitoring Committee
MRIP	Marine Recreational Information Program
MSA	Magnuson-Stevenson Act
NEFSC	Northeast Fisheries Science Center
PDT	Plan Development Team
PRT	Plan Review Team
RHL	Recreational Harvest Limit
SFA	Sustainable Fisheries Act
TAL	Total Allowable Landings
TC	Technical Committee
VTR	Vessel Trip Report