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## Background and SAS Tasks

- Peer Review:
- Additional work is needed to establish threshold reference points in $I_{\text {TARGET }}$ (use of MSE)
- Stock is depleted, not overfished with likely overfishing
- American Eel Board:
- Evaluate influence of individual surveys on coast-wide yellow eel index
- Consider reference period and other configurations for $I_{\text {target }}$
- Usefulness of habitat model for future assessments


## Sensitivity Testing - MARSS Resampling

- Goal: Conduct simulations to determine how uncertainty in annual indices of abundance may influence the MARSS yellow eel index and how this may then influence recommended harvest by the $I_{\text {TARGET }}$ method
- Method:
- Simulations were conducted by randomly drawing a value for each fishery-independent survey for each year the survey was conducted from a normal distribution
- MARSS index re-calculated, $I_{\text {TARGET }}$ re-run


## Sensitivity Testing - MARSS Resampling

Base MARSS Index


Simulated MARSS Indices


## Sensitivity Testing - MARSS Resampling

## Observed catch versus Recommended Catch



## Sensitivity Testing - MARSS Resampling

- Conclusions:
- Resulting yellow eel trends very similar
- Recommended catch from $I_{\text {TARGet }}$ very similar
- Base MARSS: 202,453
- Simulated MARSS: 255,285 pounds (95 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ percentile range: 190,411-337,171 pounds)
- Trends in the coastwide population of yellow eels based on the MARSS model and recommended catch of based on the $I_{\text {TARGET }}$ method are robust to uncertainty in individual point estimates of relative abundance from fishery-independent surveys


## Sensitivity Testing - Leave-One-Out

- Goal: To explore the influence of any one survey on the final MARSS model index (e.g., Hudson River)
- Methods: Conducted a sensitivity analysis in which each individual survey was omitted from the data one at a time and the MARSS model fit to the remaining surveys
- Additional runs to drop entire regions (Hudson or CB) or include longest survey from each region



## Sensitivity Testing - Leave-One-Out



All Hudson Dropped


Delaware Trawl Dropped


1980 cutoff


Delaware Efishing Dropped


Eightmile River Dropped


All Chesapeake Dropped


Delaware Seine Dropped


Farmill River Dropped


## Sensitivity Testing - Leave-One-Out



## Sensitivity Testing - Leave-One-Out

Longest Time Series in Region


## Sensitivity Testing - Leave-One-Out

- Conclusions:
- MARSS index can be influenced by the suite of surveys included and the length of their time series
- No single survey completely drives the trends in the final abundance index time series
- Hudson River is a large system representing a significant portion of the coastwide stock and to completely exclude the Hudson River from the analysis seems inappropriate
- MARSS index is robust to deviations due to any single survey and it appears to be the best index of coastwide abundance of the species


## Sensitivity Testing - Regime Shift Analysis

- Goal: To show the effects each survey had on the resulting abundance index trend for coastwide yellow eel and thus the choice of reference period in $I_{\text {TARGET }}$ based on the regime shift analysis
- Methods: Use STARS to re-run regime shift analysis based on leave-one-out analysis


## Sensitivity Testing - Regime Shift Analysis

| Sensitivity Run | Regimes | Same as Base | Same or Similar to Base +/- one year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base | 1974-1987, 1988-1999, 2000-2020 | X | X |
| 1980 Cutoff | 1980-1986, 1987-1998, 1999-2020 |  | X |
| Drop MD Sassafras | 1974-1987, 1988-1999, 2000-2020 | X | X |
| Drop VIMS Seine | 1974-1987, 1988-1996, 1997-2020 |  |  |
| Drop VIMS Trawl | 1974-1987, 1988-1999, 2000-2020 | X | X |
| Drop PA Area 6 | 1974-1987, 1988-1999, 2000-2020 | X | X |
| Drop NJ Delaware River Seine | 1974-1987, 1988-1999, 2000-2020 | X | X |
| Drop DE Trawl | 1974-1988, 1989-2020 |  | X* |
| Drop MA Rainbow Smelt | 1974-1987, 1988-1999, 2000-2020 | X | X |
| Drop NH Rainbow Smelt | 1974-1987, 1988-1999, 2000-2020 | X | X |
| Drop HRE | 1980-1985, 1986-2000, 2001-2020 |  |  |
| Drop Hudson River Alosine | 1974-1986, 1987-1998, 1999-2020 |  | X |
| Drop Hudson Striped Bass Seine | 1974-1986, 1987-1998, 1999-2020 |  | X |
| Drop CT Eightmile | 1974-1987, 1988-2000, 2001-2020 |  | X |
| Drop CT Farmill | 1974-1986, 1987-1998, 1999-2020 |  | X |
| Drop SC Redivision | 1974-1987, 1988-1999, 2000-2020 | X | X |
| Drop All Hudson Indices | 1980-1994, 1995-2020 |  |  |
| Drop All CB Indices | 1974-1987, 1988-1996, 1997-2020 |  |  |
| Include Longest Survey from Each Region | 1974-1985, 1986-1997, 1998-2007, 2008-2020 |  |  |

## Sensitivity Testing - Regime Shift Analysis

- Conclusions:
- Omitting a single survey had little effect on the general pattern of the MARSS model index and therefore little effect on the regimes identified by STARS
- No one index is driving the trend
- Dropping all three Hudson River indices results in the largest difference


## $I_{\text {TARGet }}$ Configurations

- Inputs:
- Catch
- Index (MARSS yellow eel)
- Specify:
- Reference period
- A stable or desirable period of abundance
- Determined by regime shift analysis
- Multiplier
- Setting a desired level of abundance that management is trying to achieve
- Threshold
- Setting the desired level of fishing on the stock


## $I_{\text {target }}$ : Alt. Reference Period

- Explored 1988-1999 with other multipliers



## $I_{\text {TARGET }}:$ Multiplier

- Explored 1.0-1.5 in 0.1 intervals



## $I_{\text {TARGET }}$ : Threshold

- Explored 0.5-0.8 in 0.1 intervals



## $I_{\text {TARGET }}$ Configurations

| Reference Period | Multiplier Value | Threshold Value | Recommended 2020 Catch (Ibs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1974-1987$ (Base) | 1.25 (Base) | 0.5 | 518,281 |
| $1974-1987$ (Base) | 1.25 (Base) | 0.6 | 359,917 |
| $1974-1987$ (Base) | 1.25 (Base) | 0.7 | 264,429 |
| $1974-1987$ (Base) | 1.25 (Base) | 0.8 (Base) | 202,453 |
| $1974-1987$ (Base) | 1.00 | 0.8 (Base) | 316,334 |
| $1974-1987$ (Base) | 1.10 | 0.8 (Base) | 261,433 |
| $1974-1987$ (Base) | 1.20 | 0.8 (Base) | 219,676 |
| $1974-1987$ (Base) | 1.30 | 0.8 (Base) | 187,180 |
| $1974-1987$ (Base) | 1.40 | 0.8 (Base) | 161,395 |
| $1974-1987$ (Base) | 1.50 | 0.8 (Base) | 140,593 |
| $1988-1999$ | 1.00 | 0.8 (Base) | 448,049 |
| $1988-1999$ | 1.25 (Base) | 0.8 (Base) | 286,751 |
| $1988-1999$ | 1.50 | 0.8 (Base) | 199,133 |

- Conclusions:
- Should be discussed by a PDT if the Board accepts the assessment
- Majority of SAS support a 1974-1987 reference period and 1.25 multiplier
- Choice for threshold (0.5-0.8) should reflect goals of the fishery


## $I_{\text {TARGET }}$ Predictions

- Can $I_{\text {target }}$ make predictions on abundance increases in response to harvest reductions?
- No, it cannot be used to forecast or project under different harvest scenarios
- Data-limited tool
- Does not include population parameters (growth, mortality, recruitment)


## Omitted SC DNR Electrofishing Survey

- Inadvertently omitted from the Assessment
- Met criteria for data collection



## Omitted SC DNR Electrofishing Survey



Comparison


Recommended Harvest Comparison


## Habitat Modelling

- How will having a habitat model help in future assessments?
- Data limitations restrict the development of a coastwide habitat model, but advances in modeling may help in the future.
- Recent advances in geospatial predictor datasets may help quantify river, stream, lake habitat area, volume, connectivity
- Could use EPR to link escapement of inland past dams to reproductive output


## Stock Status

- 2022 assessment determined stock was overfished and likely experiencing overfishing
- PR panel disagreed, depleted
- Based on the definitions of depleted, overfishing, and overfished, the American eel stock is depleted and coastwide catch should be decreased. If reference points are established through the use of $I_{\text {TARGET }}$, overfished and overfishing statuses could be determined.


## Response to MSE

- Simulation approach within MSE requires underlying knowledge of important population parameters (i.e., recruitment, natural mortality, intrinsic growth)
- $I_{\text {TARGET }}$ and other index-based methods were simulation tested across various life-history strategies
- Building the simulation and testing parameters would require extensive analysis, vetting, time
- Should be a long-term research and modeling objective
- The simulated MARSS model fits were similar to fits in the 2022 stock assessment report
- Omitting a single survey from the MARSS index had little effect on the general coastwide abundance pattern, regimes identified, or reference period for $I_{\text {target }}$
- Omitting all three Hudson River surveys (not recommended) shortens the time series and results in the largest change to the MARSS index and identified regimes
- Changing the threshold value in $I_{\text {target }}$ results in recommended catches from 202,453-518,281 lbs.
- Choice of configuration should be determined by a Plan Development Team through a management document to reflect the goals of the fishery
- SAS does not recommend changing multiplier or reference period (only threshold)
- Population projections are not possible using the index-based method, $I_{\text {TARGET }}$
- Data limitations restrict development of a coastwide habitat model; future modeling advances may help
- An MSE could be considered during the next benchmark, but in the meantime the $I_{\text {TARGET }}$ tool can be used for management because it was designed for when traditional assessment models fail
- SAS agrees with PR that American eel stock is depleted, coastwide catch should be decreased
- If reference points are established through $I_{\text {TARGET }}$ overfishing and overfished statuses could be determined


## Yellow Eel Indices

| State | Site | Gear | Model | Years of Survey | Trend |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| NH | Rainbow Smelt Fyke Net <br> Survey | Fyke Net | NB GLM year+temp+river | $2010-2020$ | NS |
| MA | Rainbow Smelt Fyke Net <br> Survey | Fyke Net | NB GLM <br> year+temp+offset(effort) | $2004-2019$ | NS |
| CT | Farmill River | Electrofishing | Population estimate | $2001-2012,2014$ | NS |
| CT | Eightmile River | Electrofishing | Population estimate | $2001-2003,2005-$ <br> 2017,2019 | NS |
| NY | HRE Monitoring | Epibenthic <br> sled \& tucker <br> trawl | Quasi-poisson GLM <br> year+temp+river mile+water <br> volume | $1974-2017$ | $\downarrow$ |
| NY | Hudson Juvenile Alosine | Beach Seine | NB GLM year+station+temp | $1985-2019$ | $\downarrow$ |
| NY | Hudson Juv Striped Bass | Beach Seine | NB GLM year+station+temp | $1980-2019$ | $\downarrow$ |
| NJ | Delaware River Seine | Seine | NB GLM year+station+temp | $1998-2019$ | $1980-2019$ |

## Addendum V

## Glass Eel Quota Provision



## American Eel Management Board August 1, 2023

- Maine glass eel quota $=9,688 \mathrm{lbs}$
-Based on 2014 Maine landings
- In 2021, Board extended this quota through 2024
- A new addendum is required to set the Maine glass eel quota beyond 2024


## Board Action

- Consider initiating an addendum to establish a glass eel quota for Maine for 2025 and beyond


## Questions ?

## The American Eel



DMR Life Cycle Study
Prepared by Jason Bartlett and Casey Clark
Marine Scientist, Department of Marine Resources

## Sampling-West Harbor Pond



Glass eels
This part of the study was initiated in 2001 per ASMFC requirements for a Young-of-Year (YOY) study.


## Yellow eels

Pot fishing to sample yellow eels was initiated in 2018 as part of the Life Cycle study.


Silver eels
Fyke net sampling to collect out-migrating silver eels was also initiated in 2018 as part of the Life Cycle study.

## Glass Eels

- Sampling begins at approximately the same time the commercial season opens (March $24^{\text {th }}$ ) and continues through June.
- Two vertical ramps are attached to the dam at the outlet of West Harbor Pond.
- Fresh water is supplied to the ramps from early flood tide through late ebb tide.
- Eels ascend the ramps while the water is flowing and drop into boxes secured in the pond.
- Glass eels are separated from elvers, counted or weighed, and released into the pond.
- The number caught varies from year to year, with 2022 resulting in the
 largest catch since the study began.


## Glass eels (cont.)

- Over the course of the sampling season, several 6o-fish subsamples are taken for individual measurements and pigment code determination.
- Average individual lengths and weights tend to decrease as the season progresses.



## Number of Glass Eels Caught by Year



## Yellow Eels

- Sampling with baited eel pots begins in July and continues through September.
- 24 pots are deployed every other week for 5 cycles at set locations around the pond. They are checked after 24 hours, rebaited, and deployed again for another 24 hours.
- Each time the pots are checked all eels are removed, measured for length and weight, tagged with a PIT tag if they don't already have one, and released.
- 1,019 yellow eels have been
 tagged to date. Most have been recaptured at least once.


## Silver Eels

- A fyke net is set at the outlet of West Harbor Pond starting in September to catch outmigrating silver eels.
- Sampling continues until December, or when no more silver eels are caught.
- All eels are removed from the trap and scanned for PIT tags. A subsample of length and weight measurements are taken.
- Rain events trigger silver eels to migrate.
- To date 5,888 silver eels have been captured.



## Number of Silver Eels Caught



## Additional Sampling

Ageing otoliths

- Otoliths are sectioned, polished, and stained to count the annuli.
- The average ages of silver eels leaving West Harbor Pond are- males 8 yrs , females 14 yrs.


## Average Length of silver eels

- The average lengths of silver eels leaving West Harbor Pond aremales 297 mm, females 443 mm.

Swim Bladder Parasite

- The invasive eel parasite Anguillacoloides crassus is present in eels living in West Harbor Pond.
- Over $50 \%$ of the eels sampled are infected with this parasite.



## Questions?



## American Eel Aquaculture Proposals



American Eel Management Board August 1, 2023

## Outline

- Background
- Maine Proposal
- Technical Committee Review
- Questions


## Background

- Aquaculture provision established through Addendum IV
- Maintained by Addendum V
- States and jurisdictions can develop Plans for domestic aquaculture
- Under an approved Aquaculture Plan, states and jurisdictions can harvest a maximum of 200 pounds of glass eel per year


## Maine Proposal

- Maine has utilized aquaculture quota since 2019

| 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 130.5 | 0 | 138.91 | 200 | 200 |

- 2023 Summary
- Same harvest locations as previous years, plus new harvests in the Union River, Passagassawakeag River, and St. Croix River
- Increased CPUEs in 2022 and 2023


## Maine Proposal

- 2024 Proposal
-No changes in facility or monitoring
-American Unagi requests to harvest full 200 lbs allocation


## TC Summary

- ME Proposal: No concerns
- TC recommended approval of the proposal


## Questions?

